Evaluation of Cloud Resolving Simulations by WRF driven by ARM Continuous Forcing

Satoshi Endo, Yangang Liu and Wuyin Lin







Motivation

• Large-eddy simulation (LES) and cloud-resolving models (CRM) have been widely used to study a variety of atmospheric phenomena and its parameterizations.

• Since the default functions of WRF's function was not suited for this purpose, we extended the capability of WRF for the CRM simulations.



• The framework have been validated for weakly-forced idealized simulations (GCSS cases).

We test the simulation under strong large-scale forcing in March 2000 IOP at SGP (FASTER warm-up case).

• Large-scale forcing is represented as an additional source/sink term.

• There are three approaches commonly taken to implement the large-scale forcing:

- 1. Advective forcing,
- 2. Relaxation, and
- 3. Combination of 1 and 2.

Advective forcing

Relaxation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm LS} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \Theta - W \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm R} = \frac{\Theta - \overline{\theta}}{\tau} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm LS} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla Q_v - W \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial z} \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm R} = \frac{Q_v - \overline{q_v}}{\tau}$$

Which approach fits our purpose? How the relaxation works...?

• Large-scale forcing is represented as an additional source/sink term.

• There are three approaches commonly taken to implement the large-scale forcing:

- 1. Advective forcing,
- 2. Relaxation, and
- 3. Combination of 1 and 2.

Advective forcing

Relaxation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm LS} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \Theta - W \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm R} = \frac{\Theta - \overline{\theta}}{\tau} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm LS} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla Q_v - W \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial z} \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{\rm R} = \frac{Q_v - \overline{q_v}}{\tau}$$

Three 2D experiments



ADV+RLX (τ = 3 h)

RLX ($\tau = 3 h$)

• Large-scale forcing is represented as an additional source/sink term.

• There are three approaches commonly taken to implement the large-scale forcing:

- 1. Advective forcing,
- 2. Relaxation, and
- 3. Combination of 1 and 2.



Three 2D experiments



ADV+RLX (τ = 3 h)

RLX ($\tau = 3$ h)

Cloud Fraction in ADV



The ADV simulation produced smaller cloud fraction than of the observed.

Cloud Fraction in ADV, RLX and ADV+RLX



Relaxation increased cloud fraction.

Time series of PW, LWP, and surface precipitation



ADV overestimated surface precipitation, while RLX and ADV+RLX underestimated it.

LS forcing averaged over the period



Tendency of th due to LS forcing [K/day]

LS forcing averaged over the period



ADV+RLX experiments with changing tau



Using the WRF-FASTER, we examined the LS forcing strategies.

• The frontal clouds in March 2000 IOP at SGP simulated with advective forcing produced more surface precipitation with less cloud fraction.

• The simulations with relaxation showed better agreement in cloud fraction. However, relaxation stabilized the lower atmosphere, and reduced precipitation.

• Relaxation would be able to produce better profile, but it may skip or change process of interest.

Next steps

- Summer time local convection
- Longterm simulation with adv + weak relaxation