## The role of satellites in biomass burning characterization

# **Charles Ichoku and Ralph Kahn**

Climate & Radiation Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD

Station Fire in California, near JPL in Aug/Sep 2009 courtesy of http://hometown-pasadena.com/ Presented at the DOE Atmospheric Systems Research (ASR) Science Team Meeting, Potomac, MD, 18-20 Mar 2013 Session: Roundtable on Biomass Burn Observation Project (BBOP)

# Fire Affects Environ, AQ, Weather, and Climate

Smoke Warming over Bright Surfaces (Ice and Clouds)

Black Carbon Deposition on Snow and Ice

Fire Disturbance to Ecosystem, Life & Property

Smoke PM and Gases Affect Air Quality

Smoke Cooling over Dark Surfaces (Vegetation and Ocean)

> Smoke Affects Cloud Microphysics and Heating Rate

Alaska Border Fires (summer 2004) with massive smoke emission Terra-MODIS image of July 01, 2004, 21:40 UTC courtesy of MODIS Rapid Response team



MODIS Fire Detection for 2003

Annually Persistent Global Issue 3.1×10<sup>9</sup> t of biomass carbon burned 1.1×10<sup>9</sup> t is emitted to the atmosphere

(Fearnside, 2000, Climatic Change 46: 115–158.)

Fires contribute: 40% BC, 25% CO<sub>2</sub> of total annual global emissions

# **Global Fire Emissions and Impacts**

Species	Fire Sources	% of All Sources	Impacts
Carbonaceous Aerosols		34% - 38%	EPA Criteria Pollutant
Black Carbon (BC)		40%	BC Global Mean Radiative forcing 55% of that of CO2
Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	~13,400 Tg/yr	25%	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	~690 Tg/yr		EPA Criteria Pollutant
NMHC	~49 Tg/yr		
Methane (CH4)	~39 Tg/yr		Over 25 times atmos heating rate of CO2
N2	~26 Tg/yr		
NOx	~21 Tg/yr		EPA Criteria Pollutant

Based on ARCTAS (Summer 2008) it was shown that CO2, CO, and CH4 alone comprised 98.6% of the measured carbon released from fires (Simpson et al., 2011).

# Active fire radiative power (FRP) observations from satellite

MODIS Aqua/Terra Day/Night Fires during Jul 2008



#### **Essential Attributes of FRP**

- ≻Contains sub-pixel information.
- ≻Qualitative measure of fire intensity/size.
- ➤Can be used in near real-time for smoke emissions and other applications
- ≻Not sensor dependent: can be used for climate data records.

# **Traditional Emissions Estimation Approach**

Emissions = Emission Factor (EF g/kg) × Biomass (BM kg)

## $BM = A \times B \times \alpha \times \beta$

Where: A=Area burned, B=Biomass density, α=Above ground biomass proportion, β=Combustion Efficiency

# **Alternative Approach**

# **Use Satellite Fire Radiative Power/Energy (FRP/FRE)**

(1)Emissions = EF × BM (from FRE)

[Wooster]

[lchoku]

(2)Emissions = Emission Coeff. ( $C_e$ ) × (FRP or FRE)

#### Smoke Emission rate Correlates with Fire Radiative Energy release rate



# **Ecosystem-based** $C_e$ vs EF



# Controlled burns conducted inside the Burn Chamber of the Fire Sciences Lab., USFS, Missoula, MT, Nov. 2003





#### Smoke from Mexico -- 02 May 2002

<u>Aerosol:</u> Amount Size Shape



Medium Spherical Smoke Particles

#### **Dust** blowing off the Sahara Desert -- 6 February 2004



Large Non-Spherical Dust Particles

# MISR **Aerosol Type** Distribution MISR Version 22, July 2007



# MISR Stereo Heights Station Fire, Los Angeles CA August 30 2009, Orbit 50641



Smoke at more than 7 km ASL (yellow and green in right image), and related clouds at over 10 km (red)

# **Smoke Plume Characterization from MISR**

Oregon Fire Sept 04 2003 Orbit 19753 Blks 53-55 MISR Aerosols V17, Heights V13 (no winds)



Kahn et al., JGR 2007

# Wildfire Smoke Injection Heights & Source Strengths

[These are the two key parameters representing aerosol sources in climate models]





MODIS Smoke Plume Image & Aerosol Amount Snapshots



GoCART Model-Simulated Aerosol Amount Snapshots for Different Assumed Source Strengths



Different Techniques for Assuming Model Source Strength Overestimate or Underestimate Observation Systematically in Different Regions

Petrenko et al., JGR 2012

# Evaluation of a 1D plume-rise model: Towards a parameterization of smoke *injection heights*



1-D Plume-rise model heights vs. MISR-observed max. plume heights
-- Models have *lower dynamic range than observed*, but very variable

# Evaluation of a 1D plume-rise model: Towards a parameterization of smoke *injection heights*



Val Martin et al., JGR 2012



Kahn, Survy. Geophys. 2012

**BACKUP SLIDES** 

#### **Fire Intensity Contributes to Plume Height Variability**



# Need to Characterize Fires and their relationship to Heat Energy and Smoke Characteristics and Trajectory



#### Effects of Scan Angle on MODIS fire observation over JPL Station Fires

Terra-MODIS: LocalTime=11:45 am, Scan Ang=1°, Npix=116, Total FRP=28879 MW



Aqua-MODIS: LocalTime=1:25 pm, Scan Ang=51°, Npix=5, Total FRP=4814\* MW



Analysis and Visualization by Luke Ellison

\*This value is after removing duplicates

1000

#### AMS Scene of Poomacha Fire, CA on October 26, 2007



