

## Tim and Art's charge

"identify gaps in our understanding of the role of biomass burning (BB) in climate change and to continue to foster collaborative research within the DOE ASR/ARM community (and beyond),...."

#### Lets narrow focus:



- Instruments for Next Gen Biomass Burn Expts suggestions based on BBOP Topics for off-line discussions
   Flight restrictions
   Instrument time response
   Particle probe coincidence limits
   Filter based light absorption measurements
   SP-AMS measurements of BC coating
   Dark Matter – Can an AMS see tar balls (Sedlacek et al)
- Next 3 slides: Science
- Important DOE research Instrument development - Most bang for the buck

# Aerosol "neutralization"

There is enough  $NH_4^+$  to neutralize  $NO_3^-$ , no more, no less



Flight 821b Black dots on 1 to 1 line for  $NO_3 = NH_4$  equivalents, laser on and laser off



### **Rapid Photochemistry**



Initial NO<sub>2</sub> ~ 120 ppb. That is most O<sub>x</sub> that can come from fire. But O<sub>x</sub> increases by 225 ppb



### Rapid Increase in Scattering and MSE (Mass Scattering Efficiency) Flight 731a

Ratios: Downwind/Over fire: Scattering/CO = 1.68, Scattering/Org = 2.08, Org/CO = 0.81

Scattering increases by 68% due to a greater than 2-fold increase in MCE and a 19% decrease in Aerosol



Plot on right is long story. An attempt to retrieve size distribution from scattering and FIMS peak dN/dLogD (see poster)

We can reproduce observed MSE over fire, using an AMS CE = 1 But we cannot get an MSE above ~ 4.5 using downwind data. We need a 5.5. Dark matter (tar balls) increase actual Aerosol Mass and decreases MSE.