Thoughts on modeling for MOSAiC

Mikhail Ovchinnikov et al.

- Motivation, science questions
- Global, regional, small scales
- Planned and ongoing integrated activities
- ASR role





Small-scale processes are part of the big picture in MOSAiC but sub-grid for RCMs and GCMs

MOSAiC Science Questions

- 1. What are the seasonally-varying energy sources, mixing processes, and interfacial fluxes that affect the heat and momentum budgets of sea ice?
- 2. How does sea ice move and deform over its first year of existence?
- 3. What processes contribute to the formation, properties, precipitation, and maintenance of Arctic clouds and their interactions with aerosols and boundary-layer structure?
- 4. How do interfacial exchange rates, biology, and chemistry couple to regulate ecosystems and the major elemental cycles in the high Arctic sea ice?
- 5. How do ongoing change in the Arctic ice-ocean-atmosphere system impact larger-scale heat and mass transfers of importance to climate and ecosystems?



(http://www.mosaic-expedition.org/science.html)



How is liquid maintained in mixed-phase clouds for hours/days?

Important dynamics – microphysics feedback:

- Vertical motions are critical for maintaining liquid phase
- Liquid layer is driving cloud circulation via cloud-top radiative cooling



⁽Morrison et al., 2012)



Large-eddy simulations (LES) – a tool for small-scale process modeling

• **Resolve large eddies** (most energy and fluxes)

explicit coupling of many processes;grid spacing: a few to 100's of meters;grid points #: 10^6 to 10^9 ;horizontal domain:~1-100 km;time step:~ 1 s;time period:hours to days

- **Parameterized** (subgrid scale, SGS) **small eddies** (little energy and fluxes)
- Insensitive to SGS parameterization (ideally), but ...

near surface, very stable layers (inversions), in reactive flows, etc.

- Stand alone and nested configurations
- A dynamical framework coupled with various physics packages



Goals of LES

- Test and improve understanding of relevant interacting processes
- Link observations at different scales
- Provide synthetic datasets for GCM parameterization development
- Guidance for GCMs to describe multi-scale interactions



LES study setup



Pacific No

Cloud and aerosol issues and biases in GCMs

- CMIP5 models and single-column model have difficulties representing states of the Arctic boundary layer and transitions between them
- Transition from cloudy to clear is sensitive to representation of surface fluxes and microphysics of mixed-phase (liquid and ice) clouds
- Observations of air mass transformations including both boundary layer states are needed to constraint LES and SCM.

Arctic boundary layer states



Challenges and opportunities

Extreme multi-scale nature of the Arctic climate/ecosystem is a key challenge Coordination between modeling activities is essential:

- LES setup is analogous to Single-Column Model (SCM) Same cases and forcing datasets can be used
- Most LES studies have been based on idealized and steady-state cases, not suitable for modeling transitions between different regimes
- MOSAiC observation together with the Year of Polar Prediction coordinated activities will provide opportunities to develop time-variable forcing specifications and conduct Lagrangian (air-mass following) LES studies





Action item: Advocate for LASSO support for MOSAiC

Routine LES, LASSO, and beyond (Breakout session) Thursday, March 22, 10:45 am – 12:45 pm Room: Great Falls

11:25–11:35: Open discussion for feedback on the LASSO implementation (Andrew Vogelmann) 11:35–11:40: Approaching the LASSO expansion decisions (James Mather)

- 11:40–12:25: Guided discussion of candidate scenarios (William Gustafson & Andrew Vogelmann)
- Arctic clouds on the North Slope of Alaska
- Arctic clouds in conjunction with the MOSAiC field campaign

