MARCUS Navigation and Inertial Data Products

ARM Translators have prioritized producing the following navigation and inertial measurement-related or -affected data for MARCUS:

- NAVBE: navigation and inertial data with added value of en route flag, beam angle, and beam angle orientation
- NAVBE1M: 1-min averaged NAVBE quantities with addition of course over ground and speed over ground
- **CEILSHIPCOR:** tilt and heave corrected ceilometer cloud bases
- **MPLSHIPCOR:** tilt and heave corrected Micropulse Lidar (MPL) data
- MWACRSHIPCOR: tilt and heave corrected moments, heave velocity corrected mean Doppler velocity
- **MWACRARSCL:** ARSCL from corrected ceilometer, MPL, and MWACR data



Data is expected to be available from the ARM Archive by August 2018.





SEANAV has done well with respect to ship attitude and location information

- MWACR is mounted on a stable platform intended to keep the radar zenith-pointing, but the MWACR was not always zenith-pointing.
- Additional corrections and/or quality control may be required for mean Doppler velocity





A look at MWACR's beam angle on the 1st leg





Results ...

- There was a very big storm!
- Suspect something else might be going on too ... lag(?)
- 10.00 V1, leg 1 MWACR Beam Angle 1.00 0.10 0.01 0.1 1.0 10.0 **NAV Beam Angle** 10.00 F **MWACR Beam Angle** 1.00 0.10 0.01 0.1 1.0 10.

- Lower beam angles
 - in general MWACR nearly

V1, leg 2

0.1

- zenith-pointing always
- MDV corrections
 "look" best

1.0

NAV Beam Angle

 MWACR far from zenith-pointing at all times

1.0

NAV Beam Angle

 Higher beam angles in general
 MDV corrections "look" worst





10.0

0.1

V2, leg 1

Summary

- We probably want to include a QC flag to indicate how robust the MDV correction is.
- We may want to include a correction for the horizontal wind component.
- If you intend to use the MDV, please contact

Scott Giangrande sgrande@bnl.gov

Navigation and ship corrected products are expected to be available in August 2018.





Extra Slides

Can we gauge how well the MDV correction is doing? Is the MDV correction affected by the beam angle of the MWACR?



Take a standard deviation of the corrected MDV to get a texture of the field.

Despite natural variability:

A higher standard deviation may indicate the correction is not as robust as we'd like it to be.

A lower standard deviation could give us greater confidence in the correction.



CFADS of original and corrected MDV





Voyage 2









How will the different beam angle situations affect the **MDV correction? Need to look at the clouds**.













Now let's look at MWACR's beam angle for each leg ... there are several situations

