Reconciling Differences Between Large-Eddy Simulations and Doppler-Lidar Observations of Continental Shallow Cumulus Cloud-Base Vertical Velocity



Satoshi Endo¹

Damao Zhang¹, Andrew M Vogelmann¹, Pavlos Kollias^{1,2}, Katia Lamer³, Mariko Oue², William I Gustafson Jr⁴, Heng Xiao⁴, David M Romps⁵

¹ Brookhaven National Laboratory ² Stony Brook University ³ The City College of New York ⁴ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory ⁵ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Shallow Cumulus Cloud-Base Vertical Velocity: SGP Doppler Lidars vs LES



The 5-site **Doppler Lidar (DL)** statistics suggests **insufficient downdrafts in Large-Eddy Simulations (LES)**.

- Similar difference in 10-day composite; Similar DL PDF for 2-year statistics
- No sensitivity to large-scale forcing, grid spacing, choice of dynamical core...

LES can more closely reproduce observations by improving physics to use:

Spectral-Bin Microphysics (SBM) Horizontal Longwave Radiation (HorLW) particularly when used together.





SBM runs: smaller liquid water & subsaturated air near the cloud edge because of its ability to treat droplets in subsaturated air.

Bulk runs: entire droplets evaporate in subsaturated air (unless the evaporation saturate the air).





200 300





Summary

- ARM SGP Doppler Lidar measurements suggest deficiency of cloud-base downdrafts in LES.
- LES can more closely reproduce observations only after improving the model physics:
 - **Spectral-bin microphysics** that can treats droplets in subsaturated air; thus, more evaporative cooling can be present in "cloudy" region
 - Horizontal longwave radiation that cools cloudy regions near the edge

both of which increase negative buoyancy and downdrafts in cloudy regions near the edge, that helps the downdrafts reach the cloud base.

• The dense Doppler Lidar network was necessary for the model diagnostics.

Poster #17 in Session B2, Wednesday 5pm-

Profiling Measurement Test using Virtual Profiling Sites in LES

