



Cloud vertical distribution in extratropical cyclones

Catherine Naud (Columbia Univ.), Anthony Del Genio (NASA-GISS), Mike Bauer (Columbia Univ.) and William Kovari (CCSR, Columbia Univ.). Contact: <u>cnaud@giss.nasa.gov</u>. *J. Climate*, in press.

Objectives

Composite CloudSat cloud vertical distribution across warm and cold fronts in oceanic midlatitude cyclones (30-70°N), for two winters to:

- 1. Verify if cloud vertical distribution in midlatitude cyclones follows the classical picture for frontal cloudiness (1).
- 2. Assess the performance of the GISS GCM model-E for the representation of cloudiness in midlatitude cyclones

Technique

1. Classical cloud distribution across cold and warm fronts



- Use NASA-MAP Climatology of Mid-latitude Storminess (MCMS) database based on NCEP-2 reanalysis sea level pressures to automatically detect midlatitude cyclones and apply Hewson (1998) method to NCEP-2 850 mb potential temperatures to detect warm and cold fronts
- Select CloudSat cloud observations when the orbit track crossed a front and build composites of cloud frequency of occurrence in a latitude-height grid across the fronts (2).
 Apply a similar technique onto GISS model-E (2°x2.5°x40L version) outputs for 5 winter months (3).

Future work

Use ARM profiles of IWP/LWP, effective particle size and integrated optical thickness (e.g. Mace et al. PI product) to study cloud properties across fronts



2. NH and SH CloudSat-CALIPSO cloud distribution



Slight difference with classical model: low-level clouds everywhere across cold and warm fronts, high level clouds ubiquitous across warm fronts and upright convection at warm front. Solid line (left) = precipitation rates.

3. NH and SH GISS Model-E GCM cloud distribution

Cold front

Warm front

Example of cyclone for 2008-01-14, 18UTC, 40.83°N and 65.98°W

(a) GOES-EAST IR (red X=storm center), color scale = CTT every 5°C, from -40 to -70°C (*Courtesy of the California Regional Weather center*). purple line = CloudSat orbit.



General features of distribution close to observations but cloud fraction much smaller: humidity not transported along vertical, resolution too coarse => GCM storms too shallow and frontal motion not resolved. Solid line (left) = precipitation rates.

4. Problems with moisture vertical transport in GISS GCM and possible improvements: slantwise convection

(c) Impact of slantwise

(b) NCEP-2 SLP (dashed) and 850 mb θ (solid) centered on 40.83°N and 65.98°W with cold (blue +) and warm (red +) fronts and CloudSat orbit = green line

(c) GEOPROF-LIDAR cloud mask (red = hydrometeors, i.e. clouds and precipitation) along the satellite orbit, warm front intersect = dashed line.

