

The Impact of a Humidity Inversion on the Persistence of a Decoupled Arctic Mixed-Phase Stratocumulus

Amy Solomon¹², Matt Shupe¹², Ola Persson¹², Hugh Morrison³

'NOAA/Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado ²CIRES/University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado ³National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado



Motivation

Observations indicate that the processes that maintain subtropical and Arctic stratocumulus (Sc) differ, due to the different environments in which they occur. For example, specific humidity inversions (specific humidity increasing with height) are frequently observed to occur coincident with temperature inversions in the Arctic (e.g., Curry et al. 1996, Tjernström et al. 2004, Sedlar and Tjernström 2009). In a recent study, Sedlar et al. (2011) surveyed data from SHEBA, ASCOS and at Darrow, Alaska, to find that specific humidity inversions occurred 75-80% of the time when low-level clouds were present. In addition, this study found a in actual of the same when now-even clouds were present, in actualon, this study round a significant relationship between the existence of specific humidity inversions and Arctic Mixed-Phase Stratocumulus (AMPS) that extended into the temperature inversion, highlighting the difference between AMPS and subtropical stratocumulus where the entrainment of dry air aloft prevents cloud liquid water from forming in the temperature inversion. Other important differences between warm Sc and AMPS are more effective cloud top radiative cooling because of the cold, dry overlying Arctic free troposphere, and the vapor diffusion onto ice (Dergeron process) which acts as a potentially large sink of water vapor for AMPS even when there is limited liquid water. In warm Sc drizzle grows by collision-coalescence of droplets, so as liquid water in warm Sc decreases, drizzle will shut off.

In this study we focus on a decoupled AMPS in order to focus on the conditions that make AMPS distinct from subtropical Sc. Specifically, we use nested LES simulations to quantify the conditions to quantify the subtropical Sc. role of humidity inversions at cloud top in the persistence of AMPS.



♦ WRF Version 3.1 Two-way nesting using 25km, 5km, 1km, 200m, 50m nests ♦ 16m vertical resolution in mixed layer, 8m Alaska resolution in entrainment zone Morrison 2-moment liquid and ice microphysics ♦ Uniform sea-ice surface ♦ ECMWF 6 hourly forcing at the 25km lateral boundaries ♦ Aerosols fit to ISDAC measurements Square Domain: Cross-section 130x130 gridpoint Along Mean Mixed

Area of Analusia

Boundary Layer Structure Along Mean Mixed Layer Winds



Vertical structure at 20Z along mean cloud layer wind from 50 m nest. A) Cloud water, in units of g kg⁻¹. B) Cloud ice, in units of g kg⁻¹. C) Subgrid W, in units of cm s⁻¹. D) Vertical velocity, in units of m s⁻¹. E)Equivalent potential temperature, in units of K. Red(blue) lines are contours of $q_1 = 0.12(0.01)$ g m⁻³ to identify the max(min) of the cloud F) Total water, in units of g kg⁻¹.

isotherms are shown with colored contour lines in all figures except (F)

Domain Averaged θe and Buoyancy Flux



Buoyancy fluxes averaged over total cloud domain, where black (red) lines show W $\theta \lor (W \theta')$ and equivalent potential temperature (< θ_{e} >) is shown with a dashed line, in units of K m s⁴ and K, respectively. A) Surface to 1.5 km. Gray dashed lines indicate upper entrainment zone and mixed layer heights. B) Cloud top entrainment zone. C) Below mixed layer entrainment zone. Dash-dot gray lines show constant θ_{e} slopes used to estimate the depth of the entrainment zones; 1.24-1.3 km = 60

m at cloud top and 0.62-0.82 km = 200 m below mixed layer.

Domain Averaged Water Tendencies and Mean Fields



Experiment Design

Tendencies averaged over total cloud domain calculated from 15 minutes averages

Layer Winds

A) Cloud water, vapor, ice, and total water tradencies, in units of gkg¹ day¹. Gray dash lines denote boundaries of cloud top entrainment zone, mixed layer lower entrainment zone, and surface layer. Positive (negative) indicates water gained (lost) by the layer.

 B) Mean resolved vertical velocity (blue, dash lines are +/- one standard deviation) and equivalent potential temperature in black, in units of cm s⁻¹ and K, respectively. C) Mean total water, cloud liquid

water, cloud ice water, and water vapor, in units of g kg¹. Gray dashed line indicates height of maximum liquid water.

튫

튫

Domain Averaged Water Tendencies



Processes that contribute to 15minute averaged water content tendencies above the surface layer for the total cloud domain, layer for the total cloud domain, in units of g m³ day¹. The residual is equal to subgrid scale mixing plus diffusion. Mean advection terms (denoted with WM, UVM) are calculated by horizontally averaging tendencies. Horizontal eddy advection (UVP) is calculated as the divergence of fluxes across the domain. Vertical eddy advection (WP) is the divergence of the vertical eddy flux. A) Total water B) Water vaporC) Cloud liquid water



Domain Averaged Water Fluxes

AMPS Conceptual Model (A) Schematic of AMPS from model results. A) Evolution from initial cloud-Horizontally and temporally averaged vertical water content fluxes, in units of g m³ m s⁴. Water components horizontally free environment (gray profile) to a decoupled AMPS topped boundary layer (black profile). Red arrows indicate net effect of Decreasing Water Conten averaged across the square domain and vertically averaged to 1.5 km are removed before calculating the mean fluxes. (\mathbf{B}) ΔLWP_{EZ}≈ +4.2 g/m2,ΔQT_{EZ}≈ -4.1 g/m2 dynamical mixing and sedimentation. ΔLWP. B) Evolution of decoupled -11.7 g/ ΔQi AMPS topped boundary ~29.g/m2 layer over one hour. ∆ML≈50m

Decreasing Water Content