

Investigation of Multi-decadal Trends in Aerosol Direct Radiative Effect from Anthropogenic Emission Changes over North America and Northern Hemisphere by Using the Multi-scale Two-way Coupled WRF-CMAQ Model

Chao Wei^{1,*}, Jia Xing^{1,*}, Jonathan Pleim¹, Rohit Mathur¹, David Wong¹, George Pouliot¹, Christian Hogrefe¹, Chuen-Meei Gan^{1,*}, ST Rao¹ and Francis S. Binkowski² ¹Atmospheric Modeling and Analysis Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, U.S.A.

²The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, U.S.A. *National Research Council

Introduction

Anthropogenic aerosols play a dominant role in the surface solar radiation "dimming or brightening" trends observed across the globe. However, the simulations of Global Climate Models (GCMs) generally underestimate the decadal changes in surface solar radiation, compared to the observed "diming" and "brightening" trends during the 20th century. Therefore it is important to further reduce the uncertainties and to improve the model's ability of reproducing the decadal changes in surface radiation. A new two-way coupled meteorology and atmospheric chemistry model, i.e., Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) model coupled with the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model has been developed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. This model system can be applied as an integrated regional climate and chemistry model (RCCM) which is an important tool for downscaling future projections of global climate to higher resolution, and assessing the interactions between atmospheric chemistry and climate forcing and the effects of air pollutants on atmospheric radiation and secondary effects on meteorology and air concentrations.

In this study, we extend the applicability of the two-way WRF-CMAQ model to hemispheric scales and high-resolution. Results of with and without aerosol feedback simulations are presented and discussed.



Method

High-resolution WRF-CMAQ two-way model

-WRF3.3: NCLD land-use type, RRTMG radiation scheme, ACM2 (Pleim) PBL, PX LSM. -CMAQ5.0: CB05-AERO6 chemistry, inline photolysis, inline dust emission module. Meteorological input data

NCEP North America Regional Reanalysis (NARR) data with 32×32km spatial and 3-hour temporal resolution; NCEP ADP Operational Global Surface/ Upper Air Observations with 6 hour intervals,

•Emission Inventory

A newly developed 20-years emission inventory is used in order to accurately reflect the emission trends resulting from progressively more stringent air quality regulations as well as population trends, economic conditions, and technology changes in motor vehicles and electric power generation.

•Domain

 12×12 km resolution over most of North America; 35 layers from surface to 100mb. Hemispheric WRF-CMAQ two-way model

-WRF3.3: MODIS land-use type, RRTMG radiation scheme, ACM2 (Pleim) PBL, PX LSM. -CMAQ5.0: CB05-AERO6 chemistry, tropopause ozone calculated from PV (potential vorticity), inline photolysis, inline dust emission module.

•Meteorological input data

NCEP/NCAR Regional Reanalysis data with 2.5 degree spatial and 6-hour temporal resolution; NCEP ADP Operational Global Surface/ Upper Air Observations with 6-hour intervals,

•Emission Inventory

A newly developed 20-years emission inventory for US is used. The anthropogenic emissions were derived from EDGAR (Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research) and biogenic VOC and lightning NOx emissions were obtained from GEIA (Global Emission Inventory Activity). Temporal distribution was referred to EDGAR default profile, speciation was referred to SMOKE profile, vertical allocation was referred to SMOKE plume-rise and EMEP profile.

•Domain

108×108 km resolution over north hemisphere; 44 layers from surface to 50mb.

-----China OECD+Central Europe





nudging for atmosphere in summer (2006 Jun)								
cases	mean bias	mean abs error	correlation	S				
	strong soil n	udging						
strong air coef. (NF)	0.087826	1.6527	0.94407	2				
small air nudging coef. (NF)	0.13726	1.6562	0.944	2				
small air nudging coef. (F)	0.095295	1.6566	0.94396	2				
delta T (NF-F)	0.042	-0.0004						
	weak soil n	udging						
small air nudging coef. (F)	0.16646	1.7178	0.94076	2				
small air nudging coef. (NF)	0.22337	1.7208	0.94077	2				
delta T (NF-F)	0.057	0.003						
no air nudging (NF)	0.7675	2.5323	0.86776	3				
no air nudging (F)	0.70258	2.4704	0.87232	3				
delta T (NF-F)	0.065	0.062						

cases	mean bias	mean abs error	correlatio n	std			
big soil nudging coef. (NF)	0.13726	1.6562	0.944	2.2			
big soil nudging coef. (F)	0.095295	1.6566	0.94396	2.2			
delta T (NF-F)	0.042	-0.0004					
small soil nudging coef. (NF)	0.22337	1.7208	0.94077	2.28			
small soil nudging coef.(F)	0.16646	1.7178	0.94076	2.28			
delta T (NF-F)	0.057	0.003					

cases	mean bias	mean abs error	correlatio n	S
small soil nudging coef.	0.11701	1.8888	0.95769	2
big soil nudging coef.	0.089634	1.8253	0.96066	2
mid soil nudging coef.	0.10844	1.8673	0.9587	2

Associateship Award at US EPA. The authors also acknowledge the free use of EDGAR, GEIA, CASTNET, TEMIS-NO₂/SO₂, MODIS, CERES and AERONET Data