

MASE

POS

and a

0.

POS

d T

200

## CCN and Vertical Velocity Influences on Droplet Concentrations and Supersaturations in Clean and Polluted Stratus Clouds

JAMES G. HUDSON and STEPHEN NOBLE Desert Research Institute, University of Nevada, Reno Reno, Nevada 89512-1095 USA hudson@dri.edu

Airborne measurements are presented from two summertime central California coastal stratus projects Physics of Stratocumulus Tops (POST) in July-Aug. 2008 and Marine Stratus/Stratocumulus Experiment (MASE) in July 2005. A variety of aerosol and cloud microphysics was encountered in POST but MASE was always polluted.

proj	flts	clds	cb (m)	N <sub>1%</sub> Mean	N <sub>1%</sub> min	N <sub>1%</sub> max	N <sub>c</sub> Mean	N <sub>c</sub> min	N <sub>c</sub> max	N <sub>c</sub> /N <sub>1%</sub> activ.	k @
			. ,	(cm <sup>-3</sup> )	(cm <sup>-3</sup> )	(cm <sup>-3</sup> )	(cm <sup>-3</sup> )	(cm <sup>-3</sup> )	(cm <sup>-3</sup> )	ratio	Seff
POST	15	34	209	268	72	784	190	45	377	0.79	0.52
MASE	9	50	< 100	597	356	914	240	104	411	0.41	0.82

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1.} Project, number of flights, number of clouds, mean CCN concentrations at 1% S (N_{1%2}), \\ minimum N_{1\%}, maximum N_{1\%}, mean droplet concentrations (N_c), minimum N_c, \\ activation ratio, slope of CCN spectra at cloud effective supersaturation (S_{eff}). \end{array}$ 

POS	ST	MASE			
N <sub>1%</sub> (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	S <sub>eff</sub> (%)	N <sub>1%</sub> (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	S <sub>eff</sub> (%)		
< 200	1.286				
200-400	0.641	< 500	0.429		
> 400	0.200	500-700	0.178		
		> 700	0.160		

Table 2. Effective supersaturations (S $_{eff}$ ) within various  $N_{1\%}$  bins for each project.

Cloud supersaturations exceed 1% in clean air. Thus, particles as small as 20nm can produce stratus droplets.

Originally there were 38 horizontal cloud passes to be analyzed in MASE. But 12 of these displayed significant abrupt simultaneous differences in  $N_c$  and  $\sigma_w$ . Thus, these 12 passes were split so that the new total number of cloud passes analyzed is 50.



**Fig. 4.** One second droplet concentration, N<sub>c</sub> and  $\sigma_w$  traces with time during one of the 12 divided horizontal cloud penetration during MASE (July 18; Table 3, row 2). Mean N<sub>c</sub>, and  $\sigma_w$  for each division are shown.

	Date	$H\sigma_w$	$L\sigma_w$	N <sub>c</sub> (H-L)
on moon W is		(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(cm <sup>-3</sup> )
	15 July	16.2	15.2	414-269
gligible in stratus	18 July	24.1	15.7	573-226
the standard	19 July	17.7	14.6	596-175
viation of W ( $\sigma_{w}$ )	19 July	18.6	15.6	524-205
used as a	19 July	22.1	17.4	413-222
regate for W	19 July	12.3	10.1	388-192
logate for w,	19 July	11.3	8.3	294-200
nough this was	20 July	15.6	12.6	268-212
the case in	20 July	14.7	13.9	388-282
ST, it was the	20 July	18.7	17.6	382-281
e in MASE	22 July	13.6	13.0	400-342
e in thirde.	22 July	14.2	11.6	344-333
	Mean	16.6	13.8	415-245

**Table 3.** Twelve pairs of adjacent divided cloud passes based on abrupt differences in  $\sigma_w$  and  $N_c$  (Fig. 4 is an example). Column 2 is  $\sigma_w$  of the higher  $\sigma_w$  cloud portion, column 3 is  $\sigma_w$  of the lower  $\sigma_w$  cloud portion, column 4 is the mean  $N_c$  of the higher and lower  $\sigma_w$  cloud portions.

k	$N_{1\%}$	W		
0.20	0.90	0.14		
0.42	0.82	0.26		
0.50	0.80	0.30		
0.52	0.79	0.31		
0.75	0.72	0.40		
0.82	0.71	0.44		
1.00	0.67	0.50		
2.00	0.50	0.75		
3.00	0.40	0.90		
4.00	0.33	1.00		

**Table 4.** Exponents of the two main factors that determine  $N_c$  by equation (1) from Twomey (1959).

(1) 
$$N_c \propto N_{1\%}^{[1-(k/k+2)]} W^{[3k/2(k+2)]}$$



Fig. 5. (a) Mean POST and MASE cumulative CCN spectra. (b) Slopes (k) of these spectra.

Fig. 1. Mean droplet concentrations (N<sub>c</sub>) versus below cloud CCN concentrations at 1% S (N<sub>1%</sub>) for 34 POST horizontal cloud passes (a) and for 50 horizontal passes in MASE (b). (c) Data from panels a and b together. (d) cloud effective supersaturation (S<sub>eff</sub>) obtained by matching CCN spectral concentrations, N<sub>CCN</sub>, with N<sub>c</sub>. Linear regressions are shown in a, b, and d, 2<sup>nd</sup> order regression is shown in c.

400 600 N<sub>1%</sub> (cm<sup>-3</sup>) 800 1000

R = 0.86

POST



Fig. 2. Correlation coefficients (R) for  $N_{CCN}$  at each S with droplet concentrations in both projects. R at 1% are from Figs. 1a and 1b.



Oft

neg

so

dev

is

sur

alth

not

PO

cas

Fig. 3. (a) Mean  $N_c$  versus W for 34 POST horizontal cloud passes; (b) mean  $N_c$  versus  $\sigma_w$  (sd of W) for 50 MASE horizontal cloud passes. Linear regressions are shown.

Figure 1a displays the positive relationship between CCN concentrations ( $N_{CCN}$ ) and cloud droplet concentrations ( $N_c$ ) in POST. This relationship seems to be linear for lower concentrations but then rolls off at high concentrations. Figure 1b for the polluted conditions of MASE shows an extreme roll off to a negative relationship between  $N_{CCN}$  and  $N_c$ . Figure 1c shows that the combined data seem to display a  $2^{nd}$  order relationship. Figure 2 shows that the positive relationship in POST extends to lower S  $N_{CCN}$  and so does the negative R for MASE extend to low S values.

Figure 3 shows the positive influence of cloud dynamics (W or  $\sigma_w$ ) on N<sub>c</sub> in both projects.

Figure 1d and Table 2 show the decrease of cloud S with higher  $N_{CCN}$  that is due to greater competition among droplet for condensate as predicted by Twomey (1959). These show that in clean air cloud S can be more than 1%.

Figure 4 and Table 3 indicate the dominant influence of  $\sigma_w$  on  $N_c$  in MASE. In every one of the 12 divided cloud passes  $N_c$  is higher in the section with higher  $\sigma_w$ , often very much higher. Since each section of each of these cloud passes probably has the same input CCN, because  $N_{CCN}$  did not show as much variability as  $\sigma_w$ , and  $N_c$  responded positively to  $\sigma_w$  and there is not a positive R for  $N_{CCN}$ - $N_c$  it will be impossible to find any input aerosol parameter that would correlate with  $N_c$  in MASE

Twomey (1959) showed that the relative influence of aerosol ( $N_{CCN}$ ) and dynamics (W or  $\sigma_w$ ) depends on the slope (k) of the CCN spectrum. A steeper slope (higher k) reduces the apparent influence of  $N_{CCN}$  and increases the apparent influence of W, equation 1 and Table 4.

## Twomey (1977) stated;

"Had the slope of natural supersaturation spectra proved to be large the drop concentration in cloud would have been determined almost exclusively by the dynamics of the environment in which the cloud formed rather than the aerosol content."

Although the CCN spectral shapes of POST and MASE were similar the lower  $S_{eff}$  that was forced by the higher  $N_{CCN}$  in MASE made irrelvant the low k at high S and made relevant the high k of the lower part of the CCN spectrum, thus making  $\sigma_w$  more important than  $N_{CCN}$  for determining  $N_c$  as revealed by Figs. 1 and 4 and Table 3.

Apparently this changeover of  $N_c$  dependence on  $N_{CCN}$  (at low k) to dependence on  $W(\sigma_w)$  at higher k happened at a lower k than predicted by Twomey. The roll off of  $N_c$  with  $N_{CCN}$  and changeover seem to put limits on the indirect aerosol effect.

Twomey, S., 1977: Atmospheric Aerosols. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, 302 p.

Twomey, S., 1959: The nuclei of natural cloud formation, II; The supersaturation in natural clouds and the variation of cloud droplet concentration. *Geophys. Pure. Appl.*, **43**, 243-249.