

Summertime Cloud Morphology over the **Eastern North Atlantic:**

Thermodynamics, **Drizzle Evaporation, and Surface** fluxes

Qiuxuan Zheng and Mark A. Miller Rutgers University



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

2

3

4

5

6

Contact: Qiuxuan Zheng gz133@envsci.rutgers.edu

Introduction, Background, and Goals

The transition in cloud morphology over the Eastern North Atlantic (ENA) from single layer stratocumulus to cumulus-coupled stratocumulus is primarily determined by the surface fluxes and vertical turbulent transports, which are impacted by drizzle evaporation.

Our goal is to:

- Quantify and classify the cloud morphology over the ENA.
- Investigate the characteristics of each • morphological classification and the transition process from stratocumulus to cumulus-coupled marine boundary layer to broken cells.

Data and Methods

• The **G-1** aircraft was deployed during two intensive measurement periods during the ARM **ACE-ENA** (Aerosol and Cloud Experiments in the Eastern North Atlantic) field campaign:

ARM

1

3

4

5

6

June 21– July 20, 2017 (used here) Jan 15 – Feb 18, 2018

- Data collected by a K-a band, Zenith-pointing Radar (KAZR) and Laser Ceilometer (CEIL) during 2016 – 2019 summer were used.
- A reflectivity rain rate (Z-R) relationship $Z = 7.31R^{1.339}$ was computed from droplet size distribution measured by the G-1 aircraft.
- The Z-R relation was used to compute the drizzle evaporation rate and latent heat flux over four years.



ARM **K-Means analysis for cloud classification**

50

Group 1

• A K-Means clustering analysis was used to classify cloud morphology every 6-hours, producing total of 1464 and cases (366 days) based on: **Cloud complexity** (CI)

$$=\frac{h_{c_max}(m)-h_{c_min}(m)}{Z_{max}}$$

Drizzle depth (DI)

1

2

3

4

5

6

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[10 \left((\bar{Z}_{\Delta h_{DD}} + 35) / 45 \ dBZ \right) (\Delta h_{DD}(m) / z_{max}) \right]$$

Cloud thickness (TI)

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\left(\sum_{i=i_b}^{i=i_t} k_i \cdot \Delta z \right) / z_{max} \right]_j \quad \begin{cases} k_i = 1 \quad Z > -35 \ dBZ \\ k_i = 0 \quad Z < -35 \ dBZ \end{cases}$$



Group 2



Group 3





Group 4

Four-year evaporation rate and latent heat flux (LHF)



 Δ Liquid Water Flux [kg m⁻² s⁻¹] (Evaporation Rate)

- Cu-coupled Sc^{*} has the highest sub-cloud evaporation rates, followed by single layer Sc and broken clouds.
- The near-surface LHF caused by drizzle evaporation for drizzling and Cu-topped Sc is the largest, which almost offsets the LHF from the surface.
- Drizzle evaporation contributes modestly to the near surface latent heat budget in single layer Sc and is negligible in broken clouds.



ARM

1

2

3

5

6

vs. surface LHF from ERA-5 reanalysis (bottom)



Single Layer Sc Cu-coupled Sc **Broken Clouds**

* Sc: Stratocumulus; Cu: Cumulus



1

2

3

4

5

6

ENA vs. ERA-5 thermodynamics(left) and cloud coverage (right)



Four-year averaged specific humidity and potential temperature for ENA (blue) vs. ERA-5 (green)

- Single layer Sc and broken clouds wellrepresented in ERA5
- Cu-Coupled Sc significantly underrepresented in ERA5

 600m-2000m too dry and too warm



Four-year averaged cloud fractional coverage of ENA (blue) vs. ERA-5 (green)



- We used a K-Means clustering analysis based on cloud geometry and reflectivity to classify boundary layer clouds over the ENA into four groups: single-layer Sc, Cutopped Sc, deep clouds, and broken clouds.
- Cu-topped Sc has the highest sub-cloud evaporation rate, and broken clouds have the lowest evaporation rate.
- The near-surface LHF caused by the evaporation of Cu-topped Sc almost offsets the LHF from the surface.
- ERA-5 reanalysis is generally warmer and drier than ENA observations. Significant disagreement was found in cloud fractions between ERA-5 and the ENA for Cu-topped Sc.



1

2

3

4

5

6





qz133@envsci.rutgers.edu