Maximum Supersaturation in the Marine Boundary Layer Clouds Over the Eastern North Atlantic

Xianda Gong¹, Yang Wang¹, Hua Xie², Jiaoshi Zhang¹, Zheng Lu², Frank Stratmann³, Heike Wex³, Xiaohong Liu², Jian Wang^{1,*} | ¹Department of Energy, Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, MO, USA. ²Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA. ³Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, Germany.

1. Introduction 3. Derivation of Maximum a 1 **Supersaturation (SS_{max})** The maximum supersaturation (SS_{max}) inside 0.005 clouds is a key parameter affecting the (%) SS_{max} derived by interpolating size-, 0.5 SS_{max,SCCN} cloud's microphysical and radiative resolved CCN measurements properties. However, SS_{max} inside the cloud • Higher SS_{max} values and a larger is very difficult to measure directly. HM variability in winter

During the ACE-ENA campaign, measurements are carried out continuously at the ENA observatory, which was set up in late 2013 on Graciosa Island in the Azores, Portugal¹.

Additionally, a total of 39 flights were conducted in the vicinity of Azores, out of the Lajes airport on Terceira Island.





Mean SS_{max} values: 0.25% in winter and 0.20% in summer





0.005 ¬

(a) Method to derive SS_{max} . (b) Seasonal variation of SS_{max} .

4. Relationships Between **SS_{max} and CCN population** or Synoptic Conditions $4x10^{-3}$ R = -0.62 $4x10^{-3}$ R= -0.38 (a) Suppression of SS_{max} by increased condensation sink of water vapor at high $N_{\rm CCN}$. (b) Stronger convection and increased SS_{max} as cold air advects over warm ocean following the 0.97 0.98 0.99 1.00 1.01 1.02 300 200 $N_{CCN,0.50\%} (cm^{-3})$ passage of fronts (i.e., low pressure).

(C)

5. Comparison Between Measured and Simulated SS_{max}

We used the global Community Earth System Model (CESM) to simulate SS_{max} values in the Azores.

Atmospheric System Research

SS_{max,CESM} values broadly agree with those derived from the measurements (SS_{max,SCCN}, R=0.70), but they also show some positive biases.

(a) The general geographic location of the sampling area. (b-d) A photo of ENA ground measurement site; the vicinity of the ENA site on Graciosa Island; and the G-1 aircraft¹.

2. Hoppel Minimum (HM) and Cloud Droplet Concentration

A good agreement between the number concentration of particles larger than HM out-of-cloud and cloud droplet concentration in-cloud was observed, indicating that HM represents the smallest size at which particles are activated into cloud droplets².

(c & d) A weak lower tropospheric stability (LTS) leads to deeper boundary layer and thicker clouds. Thicker clouds tend to have stronger radiative cooling at cloud top and more latent heat release, both of which lead to stronger turbulence and thus higher updraft velocity and increased SS_{max}.

A multi-linear regression model based on N_{CCN,0.50%}, pressure, LTS, ILH, and inversion strength (IS) can explain 57.0% of the variation in SS_{max} .



Pressure (atm)



a 1200 ---- 1:1 line 800 -R=0.95 >° 400

References

1 Wang, J. et al. (2021). Aerosol and Cloud Experiments in the Eastern North Atlantic

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge funding support from Atmospheric System Research (ASR) and **Atmospheric Radiation Measurement** (ARM) programs.



(a-c) Measurements onboard G-1 aircraft on 13 July 2017. (d) Scatter plot of number concentration of particles larger than Hoppel Minimum and cloud droplet concentration. (ACE-ENA). Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society.

2 Hoppel, W. A. et al. (1986), Effect of nonprecipitating clouds on the aerosol size distribution in the marine boundary layer. Geophysical Research Letters.

3 Gong, X. et al (2022), in preparation.

Contact Information

- Jian Wang, jian@wustl.edu
- Xianda Gong, x.gong@wustl.edu

