

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY:

SENSITIVITY OF DEEP CONVECTIVE UPDRAFTS AND MICROPHYSICS TO THERMODYNAMIC AND AEROSOL ENVIRONMENTS USING TRACER OBSERVATIONS Anita D. Rapp, Milind Sharma, Christopher J. Nowotarski, Sarah D. Brooks, Seth A. Thompson, Bo Chen



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF Office of Science

Maritime Mid aeros

99th percentile supersaturation in updrafts

UPDRAFTS & SUPERSATURATION MOTIVATION **Spectral Bin Microphysics** Overarching goal of TAMU TRACER: Max updraft velocity 99th percentile updraft velocity Use field measurements and idealized 9 Aug 2022 KHGX 0.5° Z_H 2129 UTC Maritime, Mid aerosol – Maritime, Mid aerosc modeling to understand how the (Pre 2139 UTC sounding launch Maritime, High aeroso vertical distributions of aerosols correspond to the inflow layer of deep convection in maritime and continental airmasses, and how these variations Updraft vertical velocity (m s⁻ influence properties of deep convection initiated by sea breeze **NSSL** Three-Moment

RESEARCH QUESTION

What are the effects of aerosols vs. meteorology on isolated convection using measured thermodynamic and aerosol inflow environments for cells initiated in sea breeze airmasses?

 Ensemble simulations pair measured thermodynamics with respective measured aerosol size distributions, hygroscopicity, and retrieved vertical profiles

 Additional simulations interchange aerosols with other measured profiles from same or different airmass

 Sensitivity to microphysics scheme is also tested by comparing simulations using bin vs. bulk microphysics

SIMULATION DESIGN

Thermodynamic & Kinematic Environments





MICROPHYSICS **Spectral Bin Microphysics** 99th percentile cloud water mass mixing ratio in updrafts 99th percentile rain mass mixing ratio in updrafts 99th percentile ice mass mixing ratio in updrafts Maritime, Low aeros Maritime, Low aeros Maritime, Low aeroso --- Maritime, Mid aeroso Maritime, Mid aerosol --- Maritime, Mid aeroso — Maritime, High aero Maritime, High aeroso — Maritime, High aeros Continental. Low aer Continental, Low aeroso Continental, Low aeros Continental, Mid aeros Continental, Mid aeroso --- Continental, Mid aerosol Continental, High aeros Continental, High aeros Continental, High aeroso

1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00 2.25 2.50

Aerosol Environments



Model setup	Parameter value
Domain size	60 km x 60 km x 22 km
Grid spacing (horizontal)	500 m
Grid spacing (vertical)	200 m
Microphysics scheme	Fast Spectral Bin Microphysics – 3 Aerosol Modes, NSSL 3-Moment – 3 Aerosol Modes
Aerosol species used	Generic, with observed mean $\kappa = 0.14$
Integration time step	2 s
Simulation duration	150 minutes
Initialization method	Warm bubble θ perturbation = 3 K
Output frequency	1 min
Boundary conditions	Open (both x and y)
Sub-grid turbulence	1.5 order TKE closure
10-member ensemble	Random warm bubble θ perturbation = ±0.1 K

Key takeaways & future work



Spectral Bin Microphysics

0.50

0.75

Maximum domain total grid scale precipitation



The ensemble spread can be as large as base state aerosol or meteorological sensitivity

- Larger differences in updrafts, convective evolution, microphysics & precipitation between thermodynamic environments than low vs. high aerosol environments
- Caveat: there appears to be a "tipping point" in thermodynamics aerosols interactions for the mid-range aerosol concentration/size distributions
- Bulk scheme sign of aerosol-cloud interaction reverses from bin scheme and has larger ensemble spread
- Future studies will also investigate the sensitivity to kappa, aerosol vertical profiles, initiation mechanisms, and resolution



This research is funded by DOE ASR grant DE-SC0021047 and supported by ARM Field Campaigns AFC07023, AFC07055.

Acknowledgments: Special thanks to: Drs. Erik Nielsen, Montana Etten-Bohm, and Don Conlee and TAMU students C. Hood, P. Langford, S. Lewis, C. Perez, B. Tomerlin, J. Treviño, B. Musall, M. Mancilla, K. Nunez, T. Borgstedte, K. Griese, T. Peña, S. Alegrias, L. Mata-Rodriguez, R. Lane, B. Kropp, S. Gardner, J. Ribail, J. Hale, S. Butler, K. Lange, J. Lewis, A. Sebok, D. Leathe, S. Jorgensen, B. Smith, J. Spotts, and D. Topping for assisting with TAMU TRACER and TRACER+ forecasting, nowcasting, deployments, and instrumentation.