Multimodal Chemical Characterization of the Light-Absorbing Atmospheric Aerosols and Their Deposits in the Colorado Rockies Snowpack

Steven Sharpe,¹ Catalina Botero-Carrizosa,¹ Sophia Benjimina,¹ Felipe Rivera-Adorno,¹ Jay Tomlin,¹ Kyla Siemens,¹ Nurun Nahar Lata,² Zezhen (Jay) Cheng,² Swarup China,² Erick Hulm,³ Matthew Fraund,⁴ Ryan Moffet,⁴ Alexander Laskin¹

¹Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA; ²Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99354, USA; ³Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, Crested Butte, CO 81224, USA; ⁴Sonoma Technology, Inc., Petaluma, CA 94954, USA

Dust on Snow Events at Gothic, CO

CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF TWO DUST ON SNOW EVENTS: FEB 22, 2022, AND APRIL 4, 2023.

Aeolian dust from Arizona is regularly deposited at Gothic during haze events and snowstorms. These dust layers have dramatic impacts on surface albedo and accelerate snowpack depletion.

Instrument Asset Google Earth

SAIL/SPLASH/SOS INSTRUMENT MAP TO PROVIDE CONTEXT FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE RESEARCHERS Reference map helps provide context to where different measurements were taken. Started with AMF2. AOS is next.



Chemical Imaging of Ambient Particles STXM/NEXAFS ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES OF LOCAL AEROSOL Samples were collected on a Time Resolved Aerosol Collector (TRAC) and imaged at PNNL and ALS at LBNL.

DUST ON SNOW | FEB 22, 2022

DUST ON SNOW | APR 23, 2023



FIGURE 1: The two dust events sampled from Gothic, CO. Left: Snow pit with the February 22, 2022, dust layer sampled on April 5th, 2023. Right: April 4, 2023, dust event on top of snow at Gothic. Inserts: HYSPLIT backwards trajectories of dust events. Red: 250 m agl, Blue: 500 m agl, Green: 1,000 m agl.





FIGURE 5: Instruments at AMF2. Left: All AMF2 instruments currently mapped. Right: Zoom in on the containers



FIGURE 7: SAIL-Net instruments around Gothic.



IGURE 6: SPLASH instruments and study regions.



FIGURE 8: Left: Doppler Lidar 10° obstructed view. Right: Doppler radar 20km obstructed range.

Aerosol Diurnal Variation

AVERAGE MONTHLY DIURNAL VARIATION OF BRC AND MET VALUES AT GOTHIC, CO.

What does an average day look like at Gothic?

– 880 nm - 660 nm —— 950 nm



FIGURE 11: Selected samples collected by the TRAC based on their absorbance and AAE observed by the AE33. Aerosols observed during were primarily from local sources. Samples A & B are evening NPF, C & D are regional aged BBOA, and E & F are nighttime and daytime background, respectively. All times are local (UTC-7).

COMPUTER CONTROLLED SEM/EDX

0.1 0.2

Area Eg. Diam. (μπ

SCANNING TRANSMISSION X-RAY IMAGING

Atmospheric System Research





n = 425

n = 262

n = 229

n = 426

n = 425



FIGURE 2: Dust events spotted on real-time instruments deployed at the SAIL AMF2 and AOS sites. Left: SMPS, CCN, and Nephelometer (AOS) peaks during the Feb 22 event. Right: AE33 absorbance and calculated AAE during the Apr 4 event.



FIGURE 3: Elemental composition of dust particles sampled from each event. Clusters were assigned with a Kmeans algorithm. Feb 22nd dust is dominated by salty mineral dust and organic material. Apr 4th dust is dominated







FIGURE 12: Elemental composition of aerosols from each event. Clusters were assigned with a K-means clustering algorithm. There is not much variation in the inorganic composition between samples.

FIGURE 13: Top: representative Carbon map and OVF map of imaged samples. The lack of soot in nightly peaks suggests that SOA with BrC spectral characteristics is forming as temperature drops and RH increases.

Molecular Composition of Bulk OA TPD-DART-HRMS / HPLC-ESI-HRMS ANALYSIS

Particles impacted on AE33 tape were used as bulk samples.



by Calcium-rich and Iron-rich aluminosilicates. Note that Feb 22nd dust is 10x more dilute than Apr 4th dust.



expected for aluminosilicate minerals. (A) Fe-aluminosilicates from all particles in Cluster 3; (B) Fe-aluminosilicates from all Fe-containing particles analyzed with CCSEM/EDX; (C) Ca-aluminosilicates from all particles in Cluster 4.

FIGURE 10: Diurnal variation recorded on the SAIL MET instrument during its operation (Sep 2021 – June 2023). (A) Top: Temperature (blue) & Dew point (green). Bottom: Relative humidity. (B) Top: average precipitation rate. Bottom: average vector wind speed and direction. All times are local (UTC-7).

the start of the plume while middle and end of the plume are similar.



FIGURE 15: 3D UV-vis absorption overlay maps from HPLC-PDA as a function of retention time (x-axis) and wavelength (z-axis). The corresponding identification of the light absorbing species is underway.



Acknowledgments

We acknowledge funding from DOE – ASR program. We acknowledge beamline 5.3.2.2 at ALS at LBNL Matthew Marcus for his support. We acknowledge the CCSEM/EDX at EMSL at PNNL. Furthermore, we acknowledge NOAA Air Resources Laboratory for HYSPLIT calculations and READY website. Finally, we would like to thank the Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory for providing the field site.





