# A decadal climatology of atmospheric state at Southern Great Plains

Stuart Evans, Roger Marchand, Thomas Ackerman Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Washington Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean

#### **Motivation**

· General Circulation Models (GCMs) have difficulty representing clouds, and determining the source of the errors is challenging.

· Because GCMs do not predict specific weather events, model output cannot be directly compared to observations. Rather, long term averages of model and observational data are usually compared. This obscures the source of any errors that may exist.

· Compositing model and observational data by atmospheric state is an alternative method of making comparisons. In this case, when errors are found, the physical conditions which caused the errors are better known.

### Methods

• 13 years of ECMWF reanalysis fields (T, U, V, RH, surf. pressure) comprise our input data. We use a competitive neural network to define an initial set of states.

· An issue common to many clustering studies is the proper selection of the number of clusters. We use an iterative technique (Marchand et al., 2006 & 2009) to determine the optimal number of states and millimeter cloud radar data from the ARM site as an independent test of the statistical significance of the states.

**Conceptual flow chart** 



Examples of state meteorology

· Reanalysis is sampled on a 9x9 horizontal grid within the red box, at 7 vertical levels.

ARM program Southern Great Plains site marked by the star.



state.

The following states frequently occur in sequence, demonstrating the algorithm's ability to discern different stages of a passing front.



### Composite cloud profiles for each state





## **Precipitation intensity**

A A A

1

1 million

0

-5



· Vertically pointed millimeter radar at the