

# Analysis of Cloud Retrieval Uncertainty in MICROBASE

Chuanfeng Zhao<sup>1\*</sup>(<u>zhao6@IInI.gov</u>), Shaocheng Xie<sup>1</sup>, Xiao Chen<sup>1</sup>, Maureen Dunn<sup>2</sup>, Michael Jensen<sup>2</sup>

1. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, CA, USA

2. Brookhaven National Laboratory, NY, USA





#### **Motivation And Research Plan**

The goal of Quantification of Uncertainties in Cloud Retrievals (QUICR) focus group is to develop a methodology for characterizing and quantifying uncertainties in current and future ARM cloud retrievals (VAPs and PI products), separately for different cloud regimes, in support of both retrieval algorithm improvement and cloud modeling study.



# Uncertainties in LWC for Nine Types of Clouds at SGP in 1999-2001 (1)



9 Cloud types from ARM
Cloud Classification VAP. The cloud classification method
(Wang and Sassen 2001) uses
ground-based active and
passive remote sensors which
can provide complementary
capabilities to classify cloud
phase and cloud type in
different climate regions.

Cloud types Not High

Altostratus Altocumulus Stratus Stratocumulus cumulus nimbostratus Deep All

## MICROBASE Cloud Retrieval



|  |                                    | Determined  | cloud          |             |             |                |             |                |             | convective  | Types          |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
|  | Ensemble mean (g/m3)<br>(mean/STD) | 0.08 / 0.08 | 0.05 /<br>0.05 | 0.06 / 0.03 | 0.08 / 0.03 | 0.23 /<br>0.08 | 0.10 / 0.06 | 0.25 /<br>0.12 | 0.38 / 0.17 | 0.61 / 0.26 | 0.21 /<br>0.21 |
|  | Ensemble STD (g/m3)<br>(mean/STD)  | 0.02 / 0.02 | 0.01 /<br>0.01 | 0.02 / 0.01 | 0.02 / 0.01 | 0.06 /<br>0.02 | 0.02 / 0.01 | 0.07 /<br>0.03 | 0.11 / 0.05 | 0.18 / 0.08 | 0.06 /<br>0.06 |
|  | Uncertainties in %<br>(mean/STD)   | 26 / 4      | 26 / 4         | 27 / 1      | 27 / 1      | 25 / 1         | 24 / 1      | 27 / 1         | 30 / 1      | 30 /1       | 27 / 3         |

Major ranges of ensemble means and standard deviations (STD) of LWC vary with cloud types. Ensemble mean LWC generally lies between 0.01 and 1 g/m3, with maximum values for deep convective clouds.

Cloud retrieval uncertainties determined with perturbation method slightly varies with cloud types, with most values between 20% and 30%. The slight variation found here might be related to the same ranges of perturbations among 9 types of clouds, which are generally not true. Largest uncertainties are found for nimbostratus and deep convective clouds.

# Uncertainties in LWC for Nine Types of Clouds at SGP in 1999-2001 (2)



Zhao et al. (2012) has indicated the cloud retrieval uncertainties are highly associated with the retrieval inputs, assumptions and parameters in the empirical regression equations. Here we quantify cloud retrieval uncertainties by perturbing these factors within their reasonable ranges.

#### **Uncertainties Associated with Different Factors**



### Conclusions

- Cloud retrieval uncertainties can be, at least partially quantified with a perturbation method Perturbing the cloud retrieval inputs, assumptions, and empirical parameters in the regression equations
- The major uncertainty contributing factor varies with cloud variables that are retrieved; the uncertainties determined with perturbation method are dependent on the sample method of the perturbations, while this dependency is weak in this study
- This study examines the ensemble retrieval results for nine types of clouds, and different LWC major ranges and uncertainties have been found. Generally, the ensemble mean LWC mainly lies between 0.01 and 1 g/m3, with maximum values for deep convective clouds; and the uncertainties varies slightly with cloud types with most values between 20% and 30%.

- Dominant factor for the cloud retrieval uncertainties varies with the properties retrieved. For LWC and liquid re, the dominant factors are the retrieval inputs (e.g. MWR LWP) and retrieval assumptions, respectively; for ice properties, the dominant factor is the empirical parameterization equations

- The cloud retrieval uncertainties determined from the perturbation method are weakly dependent on the

sampling distribution (e.g. uniform, normal and log-normal distributions) used in the perturbations

- Other influential factors (e.g. ice crystal habit) have not been explored in this study

The ensemble mean and standard deviations of LWC follows similar seasonal variation, so does the difference between ensemble

mean and default MICROBASE. Different magnitudes and seasonal variations have been found for nine types of clouds.

For all types of clouds, relative difference between ensemble mean and default MICROBASE decrease with default LWC. Differently, ratio of ensemble standard error to default MICROBASE LWC change little with LWC.

Ref: Dunn, M., K. L. Johnson and M. P. Jensen, 2011: The Microbase value-added product: A baseline retrieval of cloud microphysical properties. DOE/SC-ARM/TR-095. Wang, Z. and K. Sassen, 2001: Cloud Type and Macrophysical Property Retrieval Using Multiple Remote Sensors. J. Appl. Meteorol, 40, 1665-1682. Zhao, et al. 2012: Toward understanding of differences in current cloud retrievals of ARM ground-based measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D10206.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

