



Introduction

The effect of aerosol on deep convective clouds is poorly understood. Aerosol is thought to invigorate deep convective clouds but distinct changes in total precipitation have not been demonstrated. Does this mean that the effect of aerosol on deep convection is negligible? The answer to this question is likely to be no, considering the well-proven aerosol-induced substantial suppression of autoconversion. This implies microphysical compensation processes yield the small change in total precipitation. These compensation processes may involve changes in dynamical processes, affecting cloud field properties and associated spatiotemporal distribution of precipitation.

6. Results

Cumulative precipitation (mm) Control: 88.6 High-aerosol: 95.7

This 9% difference in cumulative precipitation is quite small as compared to the 10- fold difference in aerosol concentration between the runs.

> The small difference in cumulative precipitation is closely linked to a significant increase in updraft mass flux (Fig.1) Updraft mass flux (kg $m^2 s^{-1}$)



Fig. 1. Vertical distributions of the time- and domain-averaged updraft mass flux

Cloud Response to an Increase in Aerosol

 \rightarrow More Condensation; \rightarrow More Cloud Liquid; vs. \rightarrow Suppressed Autoconversion \rightarrow More accretion of cloud liquid Precipitation Budget (High - Control) \triangle Precipitation $\approx \triangle$ Autoconversion + -21.08 mm 2.84 mm △ Accretion of cloud liquid 23.91 mm Diagram 1. Cloud-process and precipitation-budget

responses to aerosol perturbation

Aerosol-induced changes in cloud field properties and precipitation of tropical convective clouds

2. Goals

Consider aerosol-cloud interactions for a cloud system mechanisms controlling aerosol-induced Understand changes in cloud field properties and precipitation in a cloud system comprising multiple clouds.

3. Model

> Goddard Cumulus Ensemble (GCE) model coupled to twomoment microphysics (Tao and Simpson, 1993; Saleeby and Cotton, 2004)











Fig. 3. WP spatial distributions at the time of the maximum difference in the WP homogeneity between the runs







Seoung Soo Lee^{1,2} (seoung.soo.lee@noaa.gov) and Graham Feingold² ¹NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Chemical Sciences Division ²Cooperative institute for research in environmental science, University of Colorado

- ICE campaign over Darwin, Austalia
- > 2-D domain: 256 x 20 km²
- > Two-day duration

 \succ Control run: ~ 400 ➢ High-aerosol run: ~ 4000

system (RAMS). Part I: Module description and supercell test simulations, J. Appl. Meteor., 43, 182-195, 2004. Tao, W.-T., and Simpson, J.: The Goddard Cumulus Ensemble model. Part I: Model description, Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci., 4, 19-54, 1993.





4. Case

> A mesoscale system of deep convective clouds observed during TWP-

Environmental conditions follow the GCSS specifications

5. Simulations

Grid spacings: 500 m (horizontal), 200 m (vertical)

PBL aerosol number concentration (cm⁻³)