

# Data Quality Control for MC3E and Selected Case Studies

Steven Rutledge, Brenda Dolan, Alyssa Matthews and Angela Rowe Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO



## Introduction

The array of X- and C-band polarimetric radars sited around the SGP provide an opportunity to regularly analyze kinematic and microphysical processes within all types of precipitation. After assessing the quality of the polarimetric data, hydrometeor identification algorithms can be applied to determine microphysical processes. With two or more X- or C-band radars, dual-Doppler techniques can be used to derive 3D wind fields, and specifically vertical velocities. Storms can additionally be categorized into convective and stratiform components, and statistics can be applied to the subcategories.

# Data Quality Control

 $\frac{\mathbf{Z}_{dr} \text{$ **Bias Estimation}}{-\mathbf{Z}\_{dr} \text{ is important for classification of hydrometeors,}}** and can be used in rainfall estimation -Using elevations close to 90°,  $Z_{dr}$  bias is estimated -SE +0.29 dB on 20 May 2011 -SW -3.2 dB on 20 May 2011 -SW -4.2 dB on 20 February 2013 -Stability over time needs further investigation



 $\frac{K_{dp} Calculation}{-K_{dp} \text{ is important for quantitative estimation of rainfall,}$ attenuation correction, hydrometeor identification (particularly in winter)

-Calculation from XSAPR is complicated by non-Rayleigh delta effects as well as phase folding

-"Dixon" uses a iterative impulse response filter for differential phase -"DROPS" uses Wang and Chandrasekar (2009) -Comparison with 2DVDs from MC3E during 3 cases shows better error statistics for DROPS method



# 25 April 2011 MC3E Case

#### Kinematics

Dual-Doppler wind synthesis was performed between XSAPR SW and SE. Volumes were then categorized into convective and stratiform elements using Steiner and Houze methodology.



1053 UTC Mixed

and convective time

·Broad distribution of vertical velocities ·Frequency of strong upward (and downward) velocities is concentrated in the mid-levels •Occurrences of w > |10| ms<sup>-1</sup>

·Narrow distribution of vertical velocities •Generally w < |10| ms<sup>-1</sup>

•Mean up (+) and down (-) vertical velocities over the 3 hour period from 08-11 UTC •As expected, convective updrafts (especially in the mid-levels) much stronger than stratiform ·Stratiform velocities relatively small

## **Microphysics**

-HID based on Dolan and Rutledge (2009) was applied to both SW and SE XSAPR as well as the NASA S-band polarimetric radar, NPOL

-Frequency of hydrometeor type over a 3 hour period on 25 April 2011 is examined -In general, XSAPRS do a comparable job to NPOL which is being used for comparison due to high quality polaimretric data and less complications from non rayleigh scattering -XSAPR better at differentiating ice crystals and aggregates



- NPOL identifies a large gradient in Ice Crystals at 10 km (coincident with sharp decrease in Aggregates) that is likely due to strong temperature weighting in the algorithm. XSAPR is more realistic with distribution of Ice crystals throughout the column
- XSAPRs show aggregates increasing with decreasing height as would be expected, while NPOL does not capture this effect
- NPOL sees large percentage of vertical ice crystals (indicative of charging) above 10 km much less in XSAPR possibly due to scanning strategy
- XSAPRS identify a non-zero amount of high-density graupel below the melting layer while NPOL does not likely from complications due to non-Rayleigh scattering
- Distribution of hail throughout the column is significantly different between the XSAPRs and NPOL, including hail reaching the surface, likely complications from non-Rayleigh scattering

# 20-21 February 2013 Winter Case

On 20-21 February 2013, a winter storm brought widespread precipitation to Oklahoma. With surface temperatures hovering around zero, precipitation type fluctuated between snow, sleet and rain. Locations around the Southern Great Plains site (Enid and Ponca City) reported receiving close to 4" of snow by mid-day on 21 February. Using the winter hydrometeor identification algorithm (HID) developed by Thompson et al. (2013), we have preliminarily analyzed the microphysics using the SW XSAPR.









#### 0859 UTC 21 February

-Much more complex situation -Over the course of the 08 UTC hour. END reports light snow, rain /snow mix, drizzle, light rain and thunder

-Reconstructed RHI identifies a veritcal bright band about 20 km to the south of SW XSAPR with rain near to the radar and snow types (aggregates) at farther ranges

-HID hints at vertical / sloped bright band with wet snow pixels (yellow)

# Conclusions and Future Work

- · Extensive quality control necessary to be able to use polarimetric data from XSAPR
- · Think XSAPR can give us information about microphysics, particularly in ice phase, with some limitations due to non-Rayleigh scattering and scanning coverage
- Bring in CSAPR analysis for dual-Doppler and microphysics
- Derive kinematic statistics for more storms (e.g. 20 May 2011, 11 May 2011, 24 April 2011)
- Look at other winter cases such as the 25 February 2013 winter storm

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Elizabeth Thompson for help applying the winter HID to the DOE radars. We would like to thank Scott Collis for his never-ending willingness to provide data and support for the X- and C-SAPRs, Additionally, Mike Dixon provided support with the X-band Kdp estimation algorithm, and V. Chandrasekar provided much appreciated help with DROPs. Pat Kennedy assisted with the analysis. This esearch is supported by grant DE-SC0007016.

Contact Info: Brenda Dolan, CSU Atmospheric Science, Fort Collins, CO, bdolan@atmos.colostate.edu