## **Development of AOD Best Estimate Product at ARM SGP Site** Pacific Northwest Monroe<sup>a</sup>, J., E. Kassianov<sup>b</sup>, L.Riihimaki<sup>b</sup>, C. Flynn<sup>b</sup>, E. Cromwell<sup>b</sup> NATIONAL LABORATORY <sup>a</sup> CIMMS/University of Oklahoma, <sup>b</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory



- A continuous multi-year record of aerosol optical depth (AOD) is required for improved understanding of complex aerosol-related processes.
- o Development of the required record is a challenging task mainly due to two main issues: (1) discontinuous and partially overlapping AOD records provided by individual instruments; (2) instrument- and time-dependent data quality information.
- How can individual AOD records be used to develop Best Estimate Product at the ARM SGP CF?



Fraction of valid dates for a given instrument (colored bars). For MFRSR/NIMFR, this fraction is a ratio of "Number of Valid Dates" to "Total Dates Available". Valid date is a date that has at least one AOD after removing data that fail QC tests. For CSPHOT, this fraction is " Total Dates Available"/365 (or 366 in leap year).







Radiometers



Images of three ground-based instruments for measuring AOD: **MFRSR** (left), **CSPHOT** (center) and **NIMFR** (right)



![](_page_0_Picture_22.jpeg)

### **Contact Information: jwmonroe@ou.edu**

**Reference**: Michalsky, J., F. Denn, C. Flynn, G. Hodges, P. Kiedron, A. Koontz, J. Schlemmer, and S. E. Schwartz (2010), Climatology of aerosol optical depth in north-central Oklahoma: 1992–2008, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D07203, doi:10.1029/2009JD012197.

## Conclusion

- epochs at the ARM SGP CF.
- data quality information.
- temporal coverage.

![](_page_0_Figure_31.jpeg)

Fraction of passed dates (colored bars) as function of specified number of comparison tests (or number of pairs of AOD from four individual instruments). This fraction represents only valid dates available for <u>all four</u> instruments. Comparison tests use criteria from Approach section.

# Approach

- Remove all MFRSR/NIMFR AOD data that fail QC tests.
- Perform **daily** AOD comparisons by calculating linear best-fit
  - MFRSR/NIMFR vs MFRSR:
  - MFRSR/NIMFR vs CSPHOT:
  - of **6 comparisons** (pairs of individual AODs) is possible.

![](_page_0_Picture_40.jpeg)

Proudly Operated by **Battelle** Since 1965

• We introduce an approach for development of a multiyear (1997-2017) record with focus on good AOD data

• Our approach merges historical time series of AOD from four collocated individual instruments (MFRSR-C1, MFRSR-E13, NIMFR, CSPHOT) and the corresponding

o Our approach goes beyond established methods (e.g., Michalsky et al., 2010) by (i) enhanced flexibility (e.g., more data streams) and (ii) possibility to provide **uncertainty** of the **good AOD data epochs** over increased

• Resample MFRSR, NIMFR, CSPHOT AODs by calculating 1-min mean values (nearest-neighbor in 1-min window for CSPHOT).

and using the following criteria (e.g., Michalsky et al., 2010):

 $N \ge 100, R^2 \ge 0.9, |Bias| \le 0.02, 0.8 \le Slope \le 1.2$ 

 $N \ge 10$ ,  $R^2 \ge 0.9$ ,  $|Bias| \le 0.02$ ,  $0.8 \le Slope \le 1.2$ 

• Process ~20 years of data, catalog dates that pass all threshold tests indicating "consistent agreement". Maximum

# www.pnnl.gov