Evaluation of cloud ice/snow size distributions and fallspeeds in CAM5 using observations
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Introduction/motivation

- Ice microphysics in models is responsible radiative forcing.
- Important to represent microphysics in the most realistic/physical-based way.
- Some issues with the global model **CAM** (Community Atmosphere Model)
  - Different representation of snow and ice, partitioning into different species with specific characteristics (particle density, fallspeed).
  - Parameterizes autoconversion from ice to snow, assuming an arbitrary particle size.
  - Empirical relations, such as fallspeed parameters are used beyond appropriate size range
  - Inconsistent treatment of ice particle shape effects for different processes/parameters (e.g., effective radius, fallspeed)

With these limitations, how well does CAM perform in regards to microphysical parameters (size distribution, fall speed, concentration) compared to measurements?

How does changes in autoconversion settings change microphysical parameters?
Measurements

Stratiform case (in situ synoptically generated cirrus clouds)

ARM

Spring Cloud IOP, March 2000, Oklahoma

Convective case (convectively formed cirrus clouds)

TC4

Tropical Composition, Cloud and Climate Coupling mission, July 2007, Costa Rica

Heymsfield et al. (2013, JAS, in print)
Flight tracks and model grid boxes

ARM: CAM model results from March

TC4: CAM model results from July

Resolution: 1.9° x 2.5°
Snow and ice size distributions are typically represented with gamma functions:

\[ \phi(D) = N_0 D^\mu e^{-\lambda D} \]

\( \lambda \): Shape parameter
\( N_0 \): Intercept
\( \mu \): Dispersion (in CAM, \( \mu = 0 \) -> size distribution is exponential)

**MOMENTS**

\[ M_k = \int_{D_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} N_0 D^k e^{-\lambda D} \, dD \]

Here \( D_{\text{min}} = 75 \, \mu m \) (cut of size for measurements)
Shape parameter ($\lambda$)

$$\lambda = \left( \pi \rho \frac{N}{q} \right)^{1/3}$$

$N$: number concentration
$q$: mixing ratio

$$\phi(D) = N_0 D^\mu e^{-\lambda D}$$
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Fall speed parameters

\[ V_t = aD^b \]

Measurements can provide estimates for \( a \) and \( b \)

Mass weighted fall speed

\[ V_{t,m} = \frac{a \Gamma(b+4)}{6 \Lambda^b} \]
Mass weighted fall speed

Heymsfield and Westbrook (JAS 2010)
Mass weighted fall speed

Heymsfield et al. (2013, JAS, in print)
Mass weighted fall speed

$V_t$ from measurements determined based on the relationship

$$m = aD^b$$

$b = 2.1$

Heymsfield and Westbrook (JAS 2010)
Mass weighted fall speed

$V_t$ from measurements determined based on the relationship

$m = aD^b$

$b = 2.1$

$b = 2.05$

Heymsfield et al. (JAS, 2004)
Autoconversion of ice to snow

Ice mass and number concentration for particles larger than a specified threshold size ($D_{CS}$) is converted to snow over an assumed timescale.

This threshold size is a major tuning nob in models.

How does changes to $D_{CS}$ affect fall velocity and ice water content?
Fall speed

\[ D_{CS} = 250 \, \mu m \]
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The choice of $D_{CS}$ value clearly has an effect on fall velocities and ice water content. The effect is nonlinear, specially on IWC.

Propose to use new approaches predicting bulk particle properties instead of using separate ice species (such as in Morrison and Grabowski, 2008).

- Improved prediction of transition from pristine ice to snow and consistency of processes and parameters.
Conclusion

• Size distribution parameters from model (shape parameter, and moments) compare well with measurements. Mainly within one order of magnitude.

• Model results and measurements have the same trends (as a function of temperature).

• The choice of threshold limit for transition from ice to snow ($D_{CS}$) has a large impact on fall velocity and IWC.

• Propose to improve how ice is transitioned into snow.

• Improve consistency of processes and parameters (fall velocity and effective radius calculations).