# Agenda for today

- Working group aims (Nicole Riemer)
- Project updates (5 min each)
  - Ryan Moffet
  - Cari Dutcher
  - Mary Gilles
  - Gourihar Kulkarni
  - Claudio Mazzoleni
  - Kerri Pratt
  - Joseph Ching
  - Laura Fierce
  - Hailong Wang
  - Rahul Zaveri
  - Matthew West
  - Art Sedlacek
  - Allison Aiken
  - Alla Zelenyuk
- Summary of progress (Nicole Riemer)
- Discussion (everyone)
  - Jian Wang's request: feedback on aerosol measurement needs/priorities

# **Aerosol Populations in Current Models**



### **Real Particles in the Atmosphere**



Li et al., Atmospheric Environment, 45, 2488-2495, 2011

How much detail is needed to capture aerosol impacts in large scale models?

### How important are these details?

Key question 1:

What is the impact of mixing state on CCN, IN, optical properties?

Key question 2:

How should we include mixing state information in models that quantify aerosol climate impacts?

- What aerosol mixing states exist in different environments?
- How can we connect measurements (lab and field) to each other and to modeled mixing state information?
- What mixing state information should be measured in the field and in the lab?

# Two Definitions of "Mixing State"

#### **Population mixing state:**

Distribution of chemical compounds across the particle population.

#### **Morphological mixing state:**

**Distribution of chemical** compounds within and on the surface of each particle.







Partially



**Embedded** encapsulated-2



# Agenda for today

- Working group aims (Nicole Riemer)
- Project updates (5 min each)
  - Ryan Moffet
  - Cari Dutcher
  - Mary Gilles
  - Gourihar Kulkarni
  - Claudio Mazzoleni
  - Kerri Pratt
  - Joseph Ching
  - Laura Fierce
  - Hailong Wang
  - Rahul Zaveri
  - Matthew West
  - Art Sedlacek
  - Allison Aiken
  - Alla Zelenyuk
- Summary of progress (Nicole Riemer)
- Discussion (everyone)
  - Jian Wang's request: feedback on aerosol measurement needs/priorities









# Challenge questions

- Can we compare models from different levels of the model hierarchy to verify the mixing state representations, mechanisms and impacts?
  - a) what might this look like?
  - b) who is going to do it?
  - c) what funding do we need to make this happen?

Common theme: requires people from different groups and backgrounds to come together.

# Challenge questions

- How do we compare mixing state information between measurement platforms and models?
  - a) population mixing state information
  - b) morphology mixing state information
- How do we use measurements (lab and field) and theory to create and validate mechanisms for:
  - a) mixing state *evolution*?
  - b) mixing state *impacts* on IN/CCN/OP?

Common theme: requires people from different groups and backgrounds to come together.

### **Connections between Different Tools**

	Theory/ Metrics <sup>1</sup>	PRM <sup>2</sup>	RM/ GCM <sup>3</sup>	$SP2^4$	Micros- copy <sup>5</sup>	SP mass spectro- metry <sup>6</sup>	Remote sensing <sup>7</sup>	Bulk measure- ments <sup>8</sup>
Theory/ Metrics <sup>1</sup>		high	low	medium	medium	low	low	low
PRM <sup>2</sup>	high		low	medium	low	low	low	high
RM/ GCM <sup>3</sup>	low	low		low	low	low	high	medium
SP2 <sup>4</sup>	medium	medium	low		low	low	low	high
Micros- copy <sup>5</sup>	medium	low	low	low		low	low	medium
SP mass spetro- metry <sup>6</sup>	low	low	low	low	low		low	medium
Remote sensing <sup>7</sup>	low	low	high	low	low	low		high
Bulk measure- ments <sup>8</sup>	low	high	medium	high	medium	medium	high	

Bottleneck:

Lack of comparable mixing state outputs between many tools

**Table 2:** Assessment of current abilities to connect data and outputs amongst different tools. The lack of comparable mixing state outputs between many tools is a key bottleneck in our ability to understand mixing state impacts.