CAUSES breakout session

Wednesday, March 21, 2018

1030: Introduction to CAUSES project (Cyril Morcrette)

1045: Diagnosis of the Summertime Warm Bias in CMIP5 (Shaocheng Xie)

1100: On the role of surface energy budget errors (Hsi-Yen Ma)

1115: Theoretical interpretation of surface temperature bias (Steve Klein)

- 1130: Attribution of surface radiation biases (Kwinten Van Weverberg)
- 1145: The connection between MCSs and SGP warm biases (Minghua Zhang)

1200: Discussion on further analysis with current model data (All)

1215: Discussion on evaluating new model configurations (All)





Introduction to CAUSES:

Description of model near-surface temperature errors in 5-day hind-casts near the Southern Great Plains





The CAUSES project team:

Cyril Morcrette, Kwinten Van Weverberg, Jon Petch: Hsi-Yen Ma, Steve Klein, Jill Zhang, Shaocheng Xie: Maike Ahlgrimm, Richard Forbes: Larry Berg, Bill Gustafson Jr., Maoyi Huang, Ying Liu, Yun Qian: Romain Roehrig, Eric Bazile: Anning Cheng, Kuan-Man Xu: Frederique Cheruy, Lidia Mellul: Jason Cole, Bill Merryfield, Woo-Sung Lee: Yi-Chi Wang:

Met Office, UK	MetUM-HadGEM3-GA6
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	CAM5
ECMWF	IFS
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory	WRF-CAM5-CLM/Noah
CNRM, Meteo-France/CNRS	CNRM (NWP & climate)
NASA Langley	CAM5-IPHOC
LMD	LMDZOR
Environment and Climate Change Canada	CanCM4
Academia Sinica, Taiwan	TaiESM









Shading: CMIP5 ensemble-mean screen-temperature bias.

Stippling: where majority of same GCMs have a bias of the same sign, when running for 5-days from an analysis in NWP mode.





Introduction

There is a large bias in the Midwest.

Use data from **Southern Great Plains** (SGP) site (located within region of warm bias). Site is operated by the US Department of Energy's (DoE) Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (**ARM**) programme.

Choose a period with the richest possible source of observations. So can perform the most detailed analysis possible.

Focus on April-August 2011, which includes MC3E (Midlatitude Continental Convective Cloud Experiment: 22 April to 6 June 2011).

So, within **GASS** (GEWEX-Global Atmospheric System Studies) and **ASR** (DoE's Atmospheric System Research programme), have set-up:

A comparison project aiming to evaluate clouds, radiation, precipitation and surface-exchange in several weather and climate models using ground-based observations to better understand the reasons for the surface temperature error.





CAUSES Overview

As of February 2018: 4 new CAUSES papers accepted.

- Morcrette et al (2018) <u>Introduction to CAUSES</u>
- Ma et al (2018) On the role of surface energy budget errors
- Van Weverberg et al (2015) <u>Attribution of surface radiation biases</u>
- Zhang et al (2018) Diagnosis of the Summertime Warm Bias in CMIP5 at SGP

Experiment 1

- 5-day hind-casts, starting from ERA-Interim analyses at 00Z for each day of April to August 2011.
- For column over SGP,
 - sub-hourly, profile of all thermodynamics, cloud cover, condensate & surface and TOA fields.
- For CONUS region, re-gridded onto 1 deg x 1 deg grid.
 - Hourly fields 2d fields of surface fluxes, precip and TOA radiation







Morcrette *et al.* (2018)

3

1

0

-1



Warm bias is not just at surface.

It extends several km into atmosphere





April-Aug mean diurnal cycles at SGP



Morcrette et al. (2018)





Morcrette et al. (2018)

Summary:

- 11 models (9 institutes) ran 5-day hind-casts over USA.
- Most models have a warm screen-level temperature bias over parts of American Midwest.
- Biases are not confined to the surface.
- Biases are not even across the day.
- Some models have largest error during day and others at night.
- Mean biases increase with lead-time.
- Diurnal range of bias increases with lead-time.
- Diurnal phase of bias coherent over large regions.
- Diurnal cycle of biases over wide region are highly correlated with biases at SGP site.
- What we learn at SGP is likely to reflect what is happening elsewhere.

Extra slides

How extensive is ERA-Interim bias seen at SGP?



Is that going to corrupt simulations initialised from ERA-Interim?

How extensive is ERA-Interim bias seen at SGP?



Is that going to corrupt simulations initialised from ERA-Interim?



X = ERA-I is biased Δ = ERA-I is biased but model is significantly warmer.

There is a <u>warming</u> and a <u>warm bias</u>

There is a warming

There is a <u>warm bias</u> Nothing

Morcrette et al. (2018)



















What shall we use for validating T2M?

ARM-Best-Estimate (good for SGP and 3deg x 3deg surroundings) But need something for rest of CONUS.

- Try:
- ERA-Interim
- North-American Regional Analysis (NARR)



What shall we use for validating T2M?

Hourly from April-Aug 2011.

Take obs from ~2000 NOAA "Quality controlled local climate data" (QCLCD) sites.

Produce gridded data set.



