Theoretical interpretation of warm bias

Two reasons for the warm-bias are:

- Excess absorbed radiation directly heats the surface causing a warm bias.
- If evaporation is suppressed, radiative heating (even if unbiased) will be used to heat the surface instead of evaporating water causing a warm bias.

Knowing which factor contributes more helps with knowing what direction one needs to go in to reduce the warm bias



Surface energy budget



Forcing Variables

- SWAbs (+ LWDN)
- Evaporative Fraction:
 - $EF \equiv LH / (LH+SH)$

Forcing variables drive the surface temperature error

Response Variables

- LWUP
- SH

Response variables are assumed proportional to the surface temperature



Theoretical interpretation of warm bias

$$SW + LW = SH + LH,$$
(1)

$$SW' + LW' = SH' + LH',$$
(2)

$$SW' + LW' = (\gamma SH)', \quad \gamma = 1 / (1 - EF)$$
 (3)

$$(SW' + LWDN') - SH_{mod}\gamma' = LWUP' + \gamma_{obs}SH',$$
(4)

$$(SW' + LWDN') - SH_{mod}\gamma' = \left(\frac{\partial LWUP}{\partial T_{2m}} + \gamma_{obs}\frac{\partial SH}{\partial T_{2m}}\right)T'_{2m},$$
(5)

$$(SW' + LWDN') - EF' \gamma_{obs}(SH_{mod} + LH_{mod}) = \left(\frac{\partial LWUP}{\partial T_{2m}} + \gamma_{obs}\frac{\partial SH}{\partial T_{2m}}\right)T'_{2m}, \quad (6)$$

$$T_{2m}' = \frac{\left(SW' + LWDN'\right)}{\frac{\partial LWUP}{\partial T_{2m}} + \gamma_{obs}\frac{\partial SH}{\partial T_{2m}}} - \frac{EF \prime \gamma_{obs}(SH_{mod} + LH_{mod})}{\frac{\partial LWUP}{\partial T_{2m}} + \gamma_{obs}\frac{\partial SH}{\partial T_{2m}}},$$
(7)

Given $\frac{\partial LWUP}{\partial T_{2m}} = 7$, $\frac{\partial SH}{\partial T_{2m}} = 9$, $\gamma_{obs} = 2.5$ from model values and observations,

Ma et al. (2018)



 The approximate equation predicts the T2m bias reasonably well with the biases estimated from radiation and evaporative fraction biases.
 3

Relative contribution of radiation and evaporative fraction biases



- Contribution from surface radiation biases (shortwave absorbed and downward longwave fluxes) is $\sim 0.5 - 2$ K for most models while contribution from evaporative fraction bias varies from ~ -2.5 to 5.5K.
- Long-term climate simulations (CMIP5/AMIP) show larger positive evaporative fraction biases than short-term hindcasts.