Applications of machine learning to ARM/ASR science: The Ice Particle and Aggregate Simulator

VANESSA PRZYBYLO - ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH CENTER DR. KARA SULIA – ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH CENTER ARNOLDAS KURBANOVAS – ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH CENTER - xCITE LAB CARL SCHMITT – NCAR

# What is IPAS?

## The Ice Particle and Aggregate Simulator

overlap fit - ellipse offset  $\mathbf{Z}^{20c}$  $-2c_a$ Ζ contac face  $2a_a$ angle  $2a_a$ prism face Z Х Y -200 X overlap 40%  $2c_a$ ontact  $2c_a$ Ζ  $Z_{200}$ Y  $2a_a$ Х

To emulate laboratory data, IPAS acts as a 'theoretical laboratory' and provides likely aggregate properties given an input number of monomers and shape.

Przybylo et al. 2019

The expected falling orientations, overlap of each monomer, and any contact angle that may form through so-called constrained randomization can be recorded.



# **Machine Learning**

## Objective:

- To compare and analyze the aggregate properties computed in IPAS with properties of observed aggregates
  <u>Methodology</u>:
- Apply machine learning (ML) algorithms to classify images from a cloud particle imager (CPI) aircraft probe based on ice particle type
- Once the images are sorted and labeled, another algorithm (or statistical analysis through PDFs) will be used to determine particle dimensional characteristics such as perimeter, area, 2D length and width, etc.
  - Compare these characteristic values to IPAS to further refine simulations for the AHM
- Potentially determine trends or patterns in the particle type/size/shape as a function of height, temperature, relative humidity, etc. (e.g., by plugging in an image of a given particle, the model would be able to "predict" what environment it was likely in).



## **Pre-processing**



**Resized CPI Images** 

#### Hand-Labeled CPI Images



#### Input Layer



Normalized pixel dimensions ranging from 0.0-1.0



<u>ML hyperoptimization packages</u>: Pytorch

- Randomized Search CV
- Comet optimizer

### Keras

- Tensorflow backend
- Hyperas
- Comet Optimizer

## Final Model:

columns:False

junk:True

junk:True

junk:True



aggs:True columns:True junk:True aggs:True aggs:True aggs:True aggs:True columns:True aggs:True aggs:True bullets:True columns:True Accuracy of aggs : 94.44444 % Accuracy of bullets 54.545455 % : Accuracy of columns : 85.365854 % Accuracy of junk : 96.000000 %

# Conclusions

- Automatized classification through use of a CNN is generating realistic hydrometeor categories, which is useful to other scientists by reducing image pre-processing time
- A generous, automated, and classified dataset is useful toward better prediction of aggregate properties and segregation of the dynamical processes taking place based on environmental conditions (e.g., aggregation, riming, etc.)
- The results of the proposed work will guide future improvements of both IPAS and bulk models while identifying regions of inadequate predictability
- <u>Next Steps:</u>
  - Build the database of hand-labeled images
    - Apply nested classification
      - Potential for primary and secondary habits
  - Extend results to more probes
  - Perform simulations in IPAS given observed particle properties to compare and validate theoretical aggregates
  - Statistically quantify any similarities and differences

# Thank You! Questions?