Improving the Diurnal Cycle of Precipitation through A Hierarchy Modeling Approach – A GASS Multi-Model Intercomparision Study Project

Shaocheng Xie

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Co-Chairs: Hsi-Yen Ma (LLNL), Peter Bechtold (ECMWF), David Neelin (UCLA) SCM/CRM/LES Lead: Shuaiqi Tang (LLNL)





Model Errors in Simulating Diurnal Cycle of Precipitation

- Rainfall occurs too early after sunrise and "too frequent, too weak"
- Fail to capture the nocturnal peak observed in many areas, like the central Great Plains
- Fail to capture the transition from shallow to deep convection
- Poor interaction between convection and its environments

No clear improvement with increasing model horizontal resolution

Summertime Diurnal Cycle of Precipitation at ARM SGP Site



Black: ARM observations

Grey lines: CMIP5 model results,

Colors for E3SM with different convection schemes



Goals

- Evaluate how well current weather and climate models simulate the diurnal cycle of precipitation over different climate regimes
- Understand what processes control the diurnal variation of precipitation in observations and in models
- Identify the deficiencies and missing physics in current GCMs to gain insights for further improving the parameterization of convection in GCMs.



Research Themes

Nocturnal convection over land

- What is the role of convective memory (advection), elevated convection initiation, nighttime lowlevel jet, radiative cooling from cloud tops?
- Diurnal cycle of convection over ocean:
 - What is the role of the "direct radiation—convection interaction" (or lapse-rate) mechanism on diurnal cycle of convection over ocean?
 - What is the role of the "dynamic cloudy–clear differential radiation" mechanism?

Convection transition

— What controls the transition from shallow to deep convection? Free tropospheric humidity or boundary layer inhomogeneity?

Interaction between convection and water vapor

- Which processes are most essential and how can these be improved in weather and climate models?



Approach

- A hierarchy modeling approach
 - SCMs, CRMs, LESs, Regional Models, Convection Permitting models, and GCMs
- Case studies vs. statistical studies
 - Major field campaigns
 - Multi-year simulations
- Short-range hindcasts vs. climate simulations
 - The Transpose-AMIP or CAPT approach with models initialized with NWP analysis
 - Free AMIP type of runs
- Observational studies & modeling tests
- Process oriented diagnosis
 - Convection onset diagnosis

A hierarchy of process models is the key to bridge the scale-gap



Participants

- > 20 modeling groups expressed their interests
 - 12 SCMs / 4 CRMs / 3 LES models
 - 13 GCMs / 1 LAM / 1MMF / 1 GCRM
- Data received or coming
 - 9 SCMs (E3SM, SCAM5/6, SAM0-UNICON, SKIM, DALES-ED(MF)ⁿ, CMC, SMCPCP, ICON)
 - 1 CRM (Met Office Cloud Model MONC)
 - 8 GCMs (CAM5-RTF, CMCGEM, ECMWF, KIM, UMGA7, E3SM, TaiESM, SAM0-UNICON)



Experiments

Case studies for detailed process understanding

(all types of models, 5-day hindcasts for GCMs)

- The Midlatitude Continental Convective Clouds Experiment (MC3E), 4/22-6/6/2011
- The Plains Elevated Convection at Night (**PECAN**), 6/1/-7/15/2015
- The Green Ocean Amazon (**GOAmazon**), IOP1: 2/15-3/26/2014; IOP2: 9/1-10/10/2014
- Multi-year simulations to build statistics
 - SCMs
 - SGP: Long-term (2004-2015);
 - MAO: Long-term (2014-2015) (GoAmazon2014/15)
 - GCMs
 - A single 8-year "AMIP-type" simulation
 - Multi-year 3-day hindcasts



Preliminary Results – Afternoon Precipitation



Figure from Shuaiqi Tang (LLNL)

Preliminary Results – Nocturnal Precipitation



Figure from Shuaiqi Tang (LLNL)

9

Timelines (3 – 5 year project)

- 1 April 2019: Kick-off the project
- Oct. 2019 Oct. 2020: Accepting simulation data from participants
- Dec. 2019 May 2020: Preliminary analysis, revising the plan if needed
- May 2021 Draft for the first overview paper on SCM/CRM/LES
- Sept 2021 Draft for the first overview paper on GCMs
- Nov. 2021 Breakout session at the 3rd pan-GASS meeting in Monterey, CA

Still welcome participations, particularly for CRM, LES, GCM models

Contact Shaocheng Xie, <u>xie2@llnl.gov</u>

http://portal.nersc.gov/project/capt/diurnal/

Extra Slides



A few Comments on Organized Group Modeling Activities

Pros

- Provide a platform for scientists to interact and collaborate on important issues with current weather and climate models
- Provides a benchmark on targeted model errors for in-depth follow-up individual and/or collaborated studies
- Facilitate use of detailed field observations in process studies
- Promote use of common procedure and data format to initializing and forcing process models

Cons

- Analysis and diagnostics are often thin and may not lead to any major break-through
- Hard to get participants actively involved in (most participants just passively respond)
- Huge efforts needed from the organizers to keep projects moving

