

An Update from the ARM Cloud and Precipitation Measurements and Science Group

Ann Fridlind
NASA GISS

*Jim Mather, Ann Fridlind, Christine Chiu, Scott Collis, Jennifer Comstock,
Scott Giangrande, Nicki Hickmon, Mike Jensen, Matt Kumjian, Paytsar Muradyan,
Rob Newsom, Alyssa Sockol, Matthew Sturm, Adam Thiesen*

- convened in February 2019
- presented preliminary findings to PI Meeting in June 2019 ('listening tour')
- drafted six general recommendations
- virtual workshop to review and flesh out in March 2020 (thanks to attendees!)
- report due circa October 2020

What is the CPMSG?

- ARM Cloud and Precipitation Measurements and Science Group
 - The CPMSG ... is charged with working together to provide **constructive recommendations regarding the operation, characterization, and development of instruments providing cloud and precipitation measurements along with the development of data products** derived from these instruments and the identification of measurement gaps
 - A driving consideration for the group should be **how resources can best be applied** to measurements of cloud and precipitation properties and the development of associated data products **to increase the scientific impact of these measurements**
- Starting point
 - Given scientific focus areas that are important to DOE objectives and relevant to ARM measurements, **are there subtopics where ARM has strong potential to contribute but is not reaching that potential** for various possible reasons?

Enabling Program Capacity, Existing Data Usage

- Create a “short-term measurement” designation and strategy for instrument and data product streams that are too resource-intensive to bring into ARM’s legacy, long-term measurement paradigm with sufficient consistency
- Develop and maintain a public list of measurements or value-added products (VAPs) that are getting insufficient use to warrant further investment
- Develop and implement a plan to reduce particularly high-volume data streams while maintaining scientific value
- Develop and support an open source and community code paradigm for existing and future data products and tools
- Develop and maintain a system of regime classification for long-term data sets and deployments of ARM mobile facilities
- Seek and support frameworks that bring individuals and groups together for limited joint exercises
- Develop and maintain a public list of measurement or analysis gaps that require either specific additional investments or integration of PI or external data sets or codes, as well as a method for gauging community support

Science Question	Problems & Roadblocks	Impact	Research Elements	Maturity/ Confidence	Solution/ Recommendation
<p>How do ice microphysical processes and their interactions with radiation and dynamics determine the structure, evolution, lifecycle, and precipitation of cold clouds?</p>	<p>There is a significant challenge in robustly quantifying the complexities of ice particle physical properties with existing instrumentation</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Key instrumentation or observations for optimal/robust analyses has not been collocated</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>Most models and forward operators are plagued by dramatic oversimplifications of particle properties, which are known to substantially affect cloud macrophysical properties. This is a significant shortcoming for interpreting both observations and model simulations.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Incomplete datasets produce large uncertainties in quantitative analyses. Combined datasets will pin down uncertainties and lead to improved understanding</p>	<p>Multi-wavelength radar observations (VPT, scanning, polarimetric, spectral); profiling instruments (radiometer/ceilometer/lidar)</p>	<p>Research platforms are mature, but continuous operation remains a challenge.</p>	<p>Maintain radar data streams; perhaps dedicated IOPs?</p> <p>Co-locate instrumentation for comprehensive datasets</p> <p>Determine the minimum level of complexity needed in retrievals/models to accurately characterize clouds and feedbacks.</p>
			<p>Surface instrumentation for characterization of snow/ice properties</p>	<p>MASC has been available, but often not collocated with other instruments. Concerns over MASC retrieval accuracy/uncertainty. Challenges in quantifying ice particle morphology and properties. Validation difficult.</p>	<p>Additional ground instrumentation for redundant measurements to reduce uncertainties (e.g., NASA PIPs, additional snow cameras, gauges; manual observations during IOPs); improved MASC design like CSU's multiple extra cameras</p> <p>Co-locate instrumentation for comprehensive datasets</p>
			<p>Retrievals of cloud and precipitation microphysics</p>	<p>Historical methods (e.g., HID) are "mature" but have uncertainties that are too large for target process studies.</p> <p>Evolving polarimetric, multifrequency, and spectral radar techniques are promising but need more validation, which is difficult.</p>	<p>Surface and in-situ validation for dedicated periods; confidence flag would be valuable for ice cloud retrievals; discouragement of "re-inventing" the wheel on older techniques that are not useful for advancing science</p>

STRAWMAN DRAFT

STRAWMAN DRAFT

Science Question	Problems & Roadblocks	Impact	Research Elements	Maturity/ Confidence	Solution/ Recommendation
<p>How do ice microphysical processes and their interactions with radiation and dynamics determine the structure, evolution, lifecycle, and precipitation of cold clouds? (2)</p>	<p>Comparison of aircraft/in situ data with remote sensing and surface observations is challenging to do robustly (most 2D imaging from aircraft insufficient for characterizing shapes/mass accurately)</p>	<p>In situ measurements are critical for improving remote retrievals and validating model simulations. Improving such comparisons will make substantial reductions to uncertainties.</p>	<p>Aircraft or other in situ measurements.</p>	<p>Few options for aircraft sampling of cold clouds in Arctic; tether sonde measurements in development?</p>	<p>Pursue targeted aircraft campaigns, explore tether sondes or UAV/cheaper approaches to in situ microphysics characterization.</p>
			<p>Surface instrumentation for characterization of snow/ice properties</p>	<p>MASC has been available, but often not collocated with other instruments. Concerns over MASC retrieval accuracy/uncertainty. Challenges in quantifying ice particle morphology and properties. Validation difficult.</p>	<p>Additional ground instrumentation for redundant measurements to reduce uncertainties (e.g., NASA PIPs, additional snow cameras, gauges; manual observations during IOPs)</p> <p>Co-locate instrumentation for comprehensive datasets</p>
			<p>Retrievals of cloud and precipitation microphysics</p>	<p>Historical methods (e.g., HID) are “mature” but have uncertainties that are too large for target process studies.</p> <p>Evolving polarimetric, multifrequency, and spectral radar techniques are promising but need more validation, which is difficult.</p>	<p>Surface and in-situ validation for dedicated periods; confidence flag would be valuable for ice cloud retrievals; discouragement of “re-inventing” the wheel on older techniques that are not useful for advancing science</p>