

Spatial Scales of Soil Moisture – Cloud Coupling Pathways using Semi-Idealized Simulations

June 24, 2021 2021 ARM/ASR Joint User Facility PI Meeting

> Koichi Sakaguchi Koichi.Sakaguchi@pnnl.gov



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





3 m s⁻¹ iso-surface for vertical velocity & soil moisture contours

What is the characteristic scale of Land-Atmosphere coupling over the Southern Great Plains? Northwest

Evidence for the importance of L-A coupling accumulating

Pacific



Fast et al. (2019), JAMES

... but difficult to simulate

Precip. error strongly correlated to errors in lifting condensation level & surface energy fluxes in many models, 10/11 p=7×10⁵ & reanalyses



Knowing the dominant scales of relevant processes is a key for modeling multiscale systems (e.g., Honnert et al. 2020)

Clouds change their scales with soil moisture variability (Fast et al., 2019)

What are the spatial scales of the process chain from soil moisture to the clouds?

WRF simulations & focus of the study

 $(m^3 m^{-3})$

0.35 0.3 0.25

0.2

0.15

0.1

Most of the model input data and configuration are the same as those in Fast et al., 2019: WRF model is run for 12 hours without PBL and convection parameterizations for the HI-SCALE August 30 case

Simplifications (e.g., no topography, no large-scale forcing) to focus on responses of surface and atmosphere to soil moisture forcing

before atmospheric feedback Chen et al., 2020, *JGR-Atmo.* (poster in session 2))

Name	Grid spacing (m)	Atmospheric I.C./B.C.	Soil moisture I.C.	Soil texture	Topography	Model domain	Analysis domain
UNISM	300	Uniform & zero winds / doubly periodic	Uniform	Uniform (silt)	Flat	297 km x 297 km	250 km x 180 km
VARSM	300	Uniform & zero winds / doubly periodic	Interpolated from STAMP, Mesonet, GLEAM	WRF default (STATSGO)	Flat	297 km x 297 km	250 km x 180 km







Pacific

Northwest





Landcover







Green vegetation fraction



Two-scale surface responses to the single-scale soil moisture forcing Northwest

S(k)

Simulated surface sensible heat flux (1000CST)

Pacific



The input soil moisture forcing has the dominant scale of variability in mesoscale (> 7.5 km in this study)

Mesoscale variabilities are enhanced in both sensible and latent heat fluxes

Sub-mesoscale (1.5 – 7.5 km) variability is also increased in sensible heat flux, corresponding to **stronger** flux gradients produced by soil moisture variability



Coupling hot spots are extreme

Pacific







Atmosphere responds at both scales

Pacific Northwest

Both mesoscale and sub-mesoscale variabilities are enhanced in buoyancy and horizontal winds

w and near-surface divergence respond to soil moisture forcing only in the submesoscale

Clouds first form in the sub-mesoscale, then upscale to mesoscale quickly with variable soil moisture



Clear sub-mesoscale signature in scalar fluxes



Pacific

Northwest

Both horizontal and vertical q fluxes, and q variance, are intensified in the **2–3 km** scale within boundary layer by soil moisture variability

wavelength (km)



On-going & future work:

- Sensitivity to land models & grid resolutions More cases
- Scales of landcover & topography influence Observed spectra Also see J. Chen et al., 2020, JGR-Atmo. (poster in session 2) & Z. Yang et al., 2021, JGR-Atmo. (poster in session 4)

Manuscript submitted to JGR-Atmospheres







Pacific

Supported by ICLASS SFA under ASR

- Clouds form at sub-mesoscale and grow into mesoscale with variable soil
- Stronger rising motion in sub-
- Mesoscale & sub-mesoscale horizontal circulations
- Mesoscale variation (in 20–30 km) + Stronger sub-mesoscale gradient (peak in 2–3 km) in sensible heat flux

- Questions? -> Koichi.Sakaguchi@pnnl.gov
- Contributors (PNNL): L. Berg, J. Chen, J. Fast, R. Newsom, S.-L. Tai, Z. Yang, W. I. Gustafson Jr., B. J. Gaudet, M. Huang*, M. Pekour, K. Pressel, and H. Xiao *now at NOAA NWS