

Tracking Convective Cells in Observations and LASSO towards Understanding Deep Convective Cloud Growth

### Zhe Feng

2022 ASR PI Meeting Breakout – CACTI

Contributions: Adam Varble, James Marquis, William Gustafson, Joseph Hardin, Enoch Jo



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





Integrated Cloud, Land-Surface,& Aerosol System Study ICLASS



# **Motivation and Objective**

- Convection-permitting models (CPM) are the future of Earth System Models
- But CPMs have various dynamical and microphysical biases
- Deep convection initiation and growth under realistic environments are poorly understood, near-cloud environmental factors and key cloud structures are difficult to observe
- **Goal:** Better understand processes controlling deep convective cloud growth under a variety of realistic environmental conditions during CACTI through developing an observation-model integration framework, and ultimately jointly improve model and observation capabilities





# **Approach: Convective Cell Tracking Database**







## **Quantifying Environmental Controls of Deep Convection Initiation**

- Convection initiation (CI) preferably occurs just east of the Sierras de Córdoba (SDC) ridge
- Largest and deepest convective cells are observed east of the SDC
- Cells initiating in more humid lowlevel environments are wider above the boundary layer and **more intense**  $\Rightarrow$  wider initial updrafts
- Jim Marquis will present more analysis of CI ingredients next





### Feng et al. (2022) MWR

## **Rapid Cell Area Growth Depends on Large Low-**Pacific **Ievel Moisture and Wind Shear** Northwest



- Cells occurring in the greatest MUCAPE, 850 hPa humidity, and 0-6 km shear exhibit clearly faster • growth compared to the environments with lowest values
- Growth rates in moderate environments are not always significantly different from lesser or greater environments
- Other factors may affect cell growth rates: synoptic and mesoscale circulations, low level moisture flux, cold pool-wind shear interactions, cell-cell interactions

### Feng et al. (2022) MWR



# **Adapt Cell Tracking to LASSO Simulations**

- We adapted PyFLEXTRKR to track convective cells in LASSO simulations at CPM and LES grid spacings
  - **Radar** tracking: Δx: 2.5 km & 500 m
  - LASSO tracking:
    - ✓ Native ∆x: 2.5 km & 500 m
    - ✓ Coarsen  $\Delta x$ : 100 m → 500 m
- Environmental conditions for each tracked cell are obtained at CI locations in LASSO
- A total of 8 LASSO simulation days are used for analysis
  - Helping LASSO to evaluate the simulations



# **LASSO Captures Observed Peak CI Location** over Mountain Ridgeline

 LASSO produces cells more frequently\* over the mountain ridge than OBS

Pacific

Northwest

**Differences** are reduced at LES scale but not eliminated, suggesting other causes (e.g., largescale forcing, orographic circulations, microphysics)







## LASSO Reproduces Important Observed Convective Cell Statistics

- Model cell lifetime agrees well with observations, except for larger proportion of short-lived cells
- LASSO captures relationship between wider and deeper cells, but has more frequent shallow cells than OBS
- Higher resolutions still produce more frequent narrow and shallow cells, but less frequent deep cells
  - Maybe related to microphysics (e.g., forming ice too quickly)
  - Partly related to radar interpolation artifacts at upper-levels
  - Need further investigation





## **Updraft Width Dependence on Relative Humidity**

- Wide updrafts in 2.5 km runs are associated with drier mid-level RH than in 500 m runs, which may suggest updrafts in CPM are less sensitive to environmental humidity (i.e., weaker turbulent entrainment effects)
- More work is needed to disentangle other processes contributing to these differences



\*Narrow cells: ≤ 1/3 updraft area distribution; Wide cells: ≥ 2/3 updraft area distribution



# **PyFLEXTRKR Software Package for Community Use**

- PyFLEXTRKR (Python-based atmospheric feature) tracking software package)
- Current capabilities:
  - Tracking convective cells using radar reflectivity data [Feng et al. (2022) MWR]
  - Tracking MCSs using satellite (T<sub>b</sub>) data, or model outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) data, with optional collocated precipitation data to identify robust MCSs [Feng et al. (2021) JGR]
  - Generic 2D objects defined by simple thresholds
- Works on observations and model outputs, optimized to run on large datasets, scalable parallelization
- Provides visualization scripts, Jupyter notebooks for statistical analysis
- Now available: • https://github.com/FlexTRKR/PyFLEXTRKR



### Feng et al. (2022), submitted

Precipitation (mm h<sup>-1</sup>)



## **Summary and Future Work**

- We developed a prototype observation-model integration framework based on Lagrangian tracking of convective cell lifecycle
  - CSAPR cell tracking database is available as <u>PI data</u>
  - Adapted to LASSO simulations at CPM and LES scales
- On-going research on understanding convective **cloud growth** using LASSO simulations:
  - LASSO reproduces important observed convective cell statistics
  - Simulations have more frequent shallow, short-lived cells than radar observations, higher resolution reduces but does not eliminate such differences
  - Under-resolved convective updrafts in CPM have muted response to mid-level RH compared to LES
- Future work will examine resolution dependance on entrainment effects



## Direct Calculation of Entrainment / **Detrainment from Updrafts**

~ 8 km

### Jo & Lasher-Trapp (2022) JAS

## Contact: **Zhe Feng** (<u>zhe.feng@pnnl.gov</u>)



- Feng, Z., and Coauthors (2022). Deep Convection Initiation, Growth, and Environments in the Complex Terrain of Central Argentina during CACTI. Monthly Weather Review, 150(5), 1135-1155. https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-21-0237.1
- Feng, Z. (2022). C-SAPR2 Convective Cell Tracking Database during CACTI. Retrieved from: • https://doi.org/10.5439/1844991
- Feng, Z., and Coauthors (2022), PyFLEXTRKR: a Flexible Feature Tracking Python Software for Convective • Cloud Analysis. Submitted.
- Jo, E., & Lasher-Trapp, S. (2022). Entrainment in a Simulated Supercell Thunderstorm. Part II: The Influence of Vertical Wind Shear and General Effects upon Precipitation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 79(5), 1429-1443. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-21-0289.1
- Varble, A., and Coauthors (2014). Evaluation of cloud-resolving and limited area model intercomparison simulations using TWP-ICE observations: 1. Deep convective updraft properties. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119(24), 2013JD021371. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2013JD021371
- Wang, D., and Coauthors (2020). Updraft and Downdraft Core Size and Intensity as Revealed by Radar Wind Profilers: MCS Observations and Idealized Model Comparisons. *Journal of Geophysical Research:* Atmospheres, 125(11), e2019JD031774. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031774