

Spatial Heterogeneity of Aerosols

August 15, 2023

Jerome Fast



Integrated Cloud, Land-Surface,& Aerosol System Study ICLASS





- Emissions, new particle formation, coagulation, condensational growth, chemical transformation, phase changes, turbulent mixing and transport, removal processes and ambient meteorology all contribute to complex aerosol distributions, but ...
- Models assume aerosol properties are constant in a grid cell and ignore effects of subgrid-scale variability



- In contrast, many models account for subgrid-scale variability in clouds to a certain extent (e.g., cloud fraction)
- > Therefore, what are the implications of employing coarse grid sizes and ignoring subgridscale variability on the overall **aerosol burden**, **lifetime**, **and radiative forcing**?



> Aerosols and cloud become segregated, especially for broken cells, which reduces ACI

from EAGLES project, Po-Lun Ma





from EAGLES project, Po-Lun Ma, Johannes Muelmenstaedt

- E3SM v2 higher than most climate models: AR6 models = -1.0 ± 0.7 $W m^{-2}$, but E3SM = -1.35 $W m^{-2}$
- Higher resolution reduces ERFaci from -1.35 to -1.0 W m⁻²
- Decomposition of ERFaci suggest that the total might not be right for the right reasons, i.e., LWP adjustment is still the wrong sign.
- Increasing resolution helps ERFaci, but better physics is still needed
- What happens if $\Delta x < 3$ km?



Cloud Fraction Adjustment





- Emissions from point sources and/or small area sources will be artificially spread over a large area at coarse grid spacings, resulting in wider and more dilute plume with different chemistry than at higher resolutions
- For many reasons, aerosol chemistry will likely be different at low and high resolution
- Superimposing multiple point and area sources at various stages of aging will further complicate issues of scale





- appropriate or not.
- What grid cell size is appropriate to compare to a grid cell?
- How do we best evaluate aerosol models with variable resolution with various type of in situ measurements (i.e., ground, aircraft, ship)?



To reduce representativeness issues, climate models often compute long-term averages, but this ignores temporal variations that might be important.

High resolution model theoretically should be able to better represent spatiotemporal variability.

- Grid spacing and resolution is not the same!
 - Need multiple ∆x to resolve aerosol variations





Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

HI-SCALE

POPs Network



- POPs instruments at 7 sites
 Asher et al. JGR, 2022
- -80 km -80 km
- Ground and aircraft
- Large # of constant altitude flight legs
- Fast et al. BAMS, 2019
- > Other past G-1 aircraft deployments assuming suitable flight paths
- > Upcoming UAS and Bombardier deployments?

CACTI



- Ground and aircraft
- Large # of constant altitude flight legs
- > Varble et al. BAMS, 2021

Spatial Composition Variability during HI-SCALE



Pacific

Similar methodology applied to other aerosol properties (*Fast et al. ACP, 2022*)





Traditional climate models cannot resolve variability in size (i.e., different growth rates) that impacts CCN and aerosol-cloud interactions



> Mean aircraft OM and SO₄ within 81 km box in the PBL is similar to ground measurements, but NO₃ is not





Questions?

